CITY OF RICHFIELD

MINNESOTA



CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET AND PLAN

2023 - 2027

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORWARD	1
Capital Plan Process	2
Legal Framework	3
Document Organization	4
Capital Plan Summary	4

SECTION ONE: GOALS AND POLICIES OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

Land Use Housing	6
Transportation	7
Sustainability & Resiliency	9
Parks	10
Utilities	11

SECTION TWO:

FINANCING THE 2023-2027 CAPITAL PLAN

Special Revenue Funds	14
Industrial/Commercial Revenue Bonds	15
Capital Improvement Bonds	15
Federal & State Grants/County & Local Governments	15
Municipal State Aid	15
Tax Increment Financing	15
General Obligation Redevelopment Bonds	16
General Obligation Improvement Bonds	17
User Fees	17
General Obligation Bonds	18
Debt Policies for the City of Richfield	19

SECTION THREE:

Capital Improvement Budget & Plan Overview	23
Plan Summary - Estimated Costs and Funding Sources	24
Project Descriptions	38
- Recreation and Open Space	39
- Right of Way Improvements	59
- Public Facilities	100

FORWARD

The Richfield Capital Improvement Budget and Capital Improvement Plan (CIB/CIP) is a comprehensive list of major improvements necessary to meet the needs of the community over a five-year period. This list is prepared through compiling the project needs requested by the various City departments. The CIB/CIP sets forth the proposed scheduling and details of the specific project by year, estimated cost, sources of funding and a justification or description for each improvement. The CIB/CIP provides organized information to those responsible for making policy decisions regarding expenditures for new facilities and provides information so that individual project requests can be better evaluated against community needs and the community's ability to pay.

The specific objectives of CIB/CIP are:

- 1. To develop a realistic list of capital improvement needs which relates proposed projects to the City's capacity to finance such projects.
- 2. To minimize the impact of projects on the resident's ability to pay.
- 3. To schedule various projects and improvements in a way which allows adequate time for detailed design and engineering of the projects, preparing environmental statements or grant applications, or exploring alternative methods of financing.
- 4. To provide coordination between City departments, various units of special and general local government and public utilities.
- 5. To implement the community's Comprehensive Plan in an orderly fashion.
- 6. To keep the public involved and informed about needed public improvements and to better enable the public to schedule private improvements.

The CIB/CIP is adopted by resolution of the Council and it authorizes spending and borrowing only for those projects included in the Capital Improvement Budget for the current budget year. The CIB/CIP is reviewed and revised annually.

CAPITAL PLAN PROCESS

Richfield's CIB/CIP process provides a vehicle for identifying annual project needs and priorities for project coordination and for financial planning. In addition, the Capital Improvement process provides for public discussion of short range City improvements. The process of formulating the Capital Plan is as important as the plan itself.

CIB/CIP projects are identified through discussions of the city commissions and the City departments. From these discussions City staff compiles estimated costs, scope and potential funding sources for the projects.

A preliminary report is generated by the Finance Manager based on these discussions and presented at a meeting of the Planning Commission. Additionally, the Community Services Commission reviews Recreation and Open Space projects prior to the presentation to the Planning Commission of the complete report.

The Planning Commission then reviews the proposed project's conformance to the Comprehensive Plan.

The CIB/CIP, as recommended by the Planning Commission, is then returned to the Finance Manager, formalized and submitted in summary form to the City Council as part of the current year proposed budget process.

If the plan includes "improvements" as defined under Minnesota Statute Sections 475.521 and 475.58, and bonds are a projected source of funding, there are certain legal requirements that must be followed before the projects and the issuance of debt can occur. In the current plan there are no projects that fall within the meaning of Section 475.521, however, there are several projects that fall within the meaning of Section 475.58.

The City is also contemplating construction of a new Wood Lake Nature Center building in 2025 and improvements to the City's outdoor pool, including a new pool liner, new aquatics equipment, and a new splash pad. The Wood Lake Nature Center building and the outdoor pool improvements could be financed with a grant from the State of Minnesota, a local option sales tax, or a bond referendum. The outdoor pool improvements could also be financed with the issuance of abatement bonds.

The Council may delete projects from the program or may change the scheduling and priority of allocation of funds. In accordance with State statutes, the City Council should refer any new project they may add to the CIB/CIP to the Planning Commission for review.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK

CITY CHARTER PROVISIONS: THE RICHFIELD CITY CHARTER (Chapter 7) requires that, it is the City Manager's responsibility to include in the annual budget message a capital improvement budget outlining proposed capital expenditures for that year. In addition, the City Charter was amended to give the City Manager the responsibility to develop a Capital Improvement Plan for the four fiscal years succeeding the budget year and submit it to the Planning Commission to ensure compliance with the City's Comprehensive Plan. The City Manager, upon compliance with the Comprehensive Plan by the Planning Commission, includes the Capital Improvement Budget and Plan in the current year budget document.

STATE LEGISLATION: State enabling legislation (M.S. 462.351-462.364) directs the Planning Commission to review proposed capital projects in relation to the adopted Comprehensive Plan.

In 2003, the legislature authorized a capital improvement bond program that applies to cities similar to that which is current law for counties. A new section 521 was added to Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 475 allowing municipalities to issue bonds for certain capital improvements contained in a capital improvement plan. Under the statute, capital improvements are defined as the acquisition or betterment of public lands, buildings or other improvements for the purpose of a city hall, town hall, library, public safety facility, and public works facility. In addition, an improvement must have an expected useful life of five years or more to qualify.

The statute also imposes certain requirements that must be addressed in order for a municipality to issue capital improvement bonds. These requirements are:

- A public hearing must be held on the plan and approved by the governing body.
- A public hearing must be held by the municipality on the issuance of bonds and approved by three-fifths of the members of a five member governing body.
- Issuance of capital improvement bonds is subject to referendum approval if a petition signed by voters equal to five percent of votes cast in the municipality in the last general election is filed with the City Clerk within 30 days after the public hearing.
- The plan must cover at least a five-year period beginning with the date of its adoption. The plan must set forth the estimated schedule, timing, and details of specific improvements by year, together with the estimated cost, the need for the improvement, and sources of revenue to pay for the improvement.

A municipality my also issue and sell obligations for street reconstruction under Minnesota Statute Section 475.58 if certain conditions are met. These conditions are:

- The streets are reconstructed under a street reconstruction plan that describes the street reconstruction to be financed, the estimated costs, and any planned reconstruction of other streets in the municipality over the next five years, and the plan and issuance of the obligation has been approved by a vote of all of the members of the governing body present at the meeting following a public hearing for which notice has been published.
- Issuance of the obligation is subject to referendum approval if a petition signed by voters equal to five percent of votes cast in the municipality in the last general election is filed with the City Clerk within 30 days after the public hearing.
- Obligations issued under this subdivision are subject to the debt limit of the municipality and are not excluded from net debt under section 475.51, subdivision 4.

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT: In recent years, the federal government has adopted legislation designed to help strengthen local governments through emphasizing program planning and management. This legislation encourages municipalities to "program and budget for community development activities". By establishing policies which systematically improves the community's physical and social environment.

DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION

This Capital Plan is divided into three sections. The first section outlines the goals and policies of the City's Comprehensive Plan. This section provides the general background and direction of the Capital Plan and outlines the goals to be implemented through the Capital Plan.

The second section of this document describes the City's policies for financing capital improvements, including an inventory of existing and potential resources. The past use of these resources and the anticipated future use are outlined.

The third section of the Capital Improvement document provides a summary of proposed projects and a long-range schedule of individual projects.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET/CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN SUMMARY

All the projects contained in this document conform to the City's adopted Comprehensive Plan and have been reviewed by the Planning Commission.

Several major resources are used in financing the CIB/CIP. These sources of funding include, but are not limited to, Federal, State, and County grants, municipal state aid, special assessments, use of debt, and user fees from enterprise funds.

The CIB/CIP provides for the major maintenance of neighborhood parks and ball fields, construction and improvements to public buildings, renovation of water plant facilities, water, sewer and storm water projects, and road, bridge, intersection and traffic light improvement projects.

SECTION ONE

GOALS AND POLICIES OF THE RICHFIELD COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The Comprehensive Plan is guided by a set of goals and policies that have their origins in both the 1997 and 2008 Comprehensive Plans. The goals and policies are focused on reflecting historical development patterns in Richfield, while helping position the City for the future. They represent the community's vision for future development, redevelopment and change. The framework for the goals and policies include:

- Committing to equitable opportunities for all.
- Emphasizing sustainability as a measure to ensure the future economic, environmental and social health of the community.
- Strengthening and enhancing the low density residential areas of the community.
- Maintaining a diversity of housing types and price ranges.
- Committing to a balanced multimodal transportation system.
- Providing quality parks and recreation areas that are well connected by trails.

This chapter brings forward each of the plan element's goals and policies. The goals are general statements Richfield hopes to accomplish in the future. The policies are specific statements and measurable strategies used to help achieve a goal. Combined, these statements provide the City direction and guidance for making future decisions.

Land Use Goals and Policies

Goal: Maintain and enhance the "urban hometown" character of Richfield.

Policies:

- Establish a land use pattern and supporting infrastructure that preserves and enhances the ability of residents to make personal connections in their neighborhoods.
- Provide a full range of housing choices that contribute to vital and desirable neighborhoods that welcome diversity of age, race, and physical ability; while maintaining a comfortable small town atmosphere.
- Require site design and architectural characteristics that provide appropriate transitions between lower and higher intensity uses.
- Preserve historical, natural and cultural resources.
- Develop residential standards (scale, density, etc.) for redevelopment areas that create neighborhood character.
- Support and encourage commercial land uses that are diverse and responsive to their context.
- Maintain and provide quality amenities and a safe living environment.
- Establish land use policies that create a healthy, vibrant community of opportunity where low income people, peoples of color, new immigrants, and people with disabilities participate in and benefit from decisions that impact them.

Goal: Develop identifiable nodes, corridors and gateways throughout Richfield.

- Facilitate an intense mixed pattern of regional and community-oriented land uses along regional corridor routes, such as I-494 and Cedar Avenue.
- Focus commercial and higher density residential development along major thoroughfares.
- Encourage a mix of uses that serve a market in and around the City in community commercial nodes.

- Encourage a mix of uses that serve surrounding local neighborhoods in neighborhood commercial nodes.
- Create meeting places in multiunit complexes to allow for interaction between its residents, and between its residents and surrounding neighbors.
- Improve gateways to create a visual means of welcoming people to the City.
- Integrate public art into public spaces when feasible.

Goal: Provide an economic climate within Richfield that will encourage the availability of quality goods, services and employment opportunities for residents.

Policies:

- Accommodate business growth.
- Encourage and support the development of strong commercial districts that respect the values and standards of the residents.
- Encourage the development of viable and responsive neighborhood commercial services.
- Promote development that broadens the tax base.
- Create commercial districts that sustain specific types of development and stabilize the economic base.

Goal: Encourage development of areas where vehicle use is minimized.

Policies:

- Encourage shared parking between different developments when appropriate.
- Strongly encourage pedestrian-friendly and transit-friendly building and site design through measures such as higher density development and growth, which is located along major transportation routes.
- Require pedestrian connections between complementary land uses.
- Advocate the location of commercial activity at focused points in the City (downtown areas).
- Require new developments of a certain size to prepare Travel Demand Management Plans.

Housing Goals and Policies

Goal: Maintain and enhance Richfield's commitment to housing maintenance, rehabilitation and redevelopment, resulting in an attractive, desirable and prosperous community.

- Support the rehabilitation and upgrading of the existing housing stock.
- Support ongoing maintenance and upkeep of residential properties.
- Develop policies for naturally occurring affordable multi-family housing that encourage the maintenance and upgrading of aging apartment buildings, while maintaining affordability and preventing displacement of residents.
- Limit redevelopment of single-family neighborhoods into other uses except where such neighborhoods are directly adjacent to commercial areas or areas adversely affected by major roadways, the airport, or other major developments.
- Ensure that redevelopment and infill projects maintain the integrity of existing neighborhoods.
- Encourage the use of quality, durable building and landscaping materials to maintain a high-quality standard in residential development.
- Implement housing codes and support programs which lead to a housing stock that reflects the City's commitment to sustainability and healthy living.
- Support initiatives which help connect residents with their neighborhood and foster a sense of community, such as block groups, neighborhood clean-up days, and cultural activities.

Goal: Provide a full range of housing choices that meet residents' needs at every stage of their lives, and ensure a healthy balance of housing types that meet the needs of a diverse population with diverse needs.

- Acknowledge and support the City's allocation of the region's need for affordable housing.
- Promote the development of a balanced housing stock that is available to a range of income levels.
- Encourage improvements to the housing stock to better serve families with children and seniors.
- Encourage the creation of "move-up" housing through new construction and home remodeling.
- Maintain an appropriate mix of housing types in each neighborhood based on available amenities, transportation resources and adjacent land uses.
- Promote additional housing diversity to serve families at all stages of their life-cycle through assistance, incentive programs, and the exploration of possible partnerships.
- Give priority to projects that meet the following criteria when considering proposals for market-rate, multi-family housing:
 - > Located in transitional areas between single-family neighborhoods and commercial areas.
 - > Offers a complementary size and style to the community.
 - > Includes a mix of unit sizes and amenities.
 - > Includes amenities to build community and encourage active lifestyles.
 - Offers a mix of residential and complementary commercial uses that embody and preserve the feel of the "Urban Hometown" in their design.
 - > Offers flexibility in their design to accommodate market changes.
- Give priority to projects that meeting the following criteria when considering proposals for housing that include an
 affordable component:
 - > Located in proximity to public transportation, job centers, schools and other amenities.
 - > Dispersed evenly throughout the City.
 - Provides two and three-bedroom units (or larger).
 - > Contains a mix of market-rate and affordable units, with a higher proportion of market-rate units.
 - > Maintains affordability through the rehabilitation of existing, aging multi-family housing.
 - Includes attributes such as single-level living, low-rise developments, townhome style housing, shared community spaces, accessibility, and energy-efficient building systems.
- Give priority to projects that meet the following criteria when considering proposals for senior housing:
 - > Includes lower-density senior housing.
 - > Offers a continuum of care.
 - > Offers geographic balance throughout the City.
 - > Easily converts to serve other populations.
 - > Identified as feasible based on a market survey.
 - > Incorporates feedback from residents located near the proposed development.
 - > Results in updates and upgrades to existing senior developments.
- Regularly review land use and zoning ordinances to ensure maximum opportunities for strengthening housing choices.
- Promote the development, management, and maintenance of affordable housing in the City through assistance programs, alternative funding sources, and the creation of partnerships whose mission is to promote low to moderate income housing.

Transportation Goals and Policies

Goal: Improve pedestrian and bicycle travel in the City.

Policies:

- Embrace the City's Complete Streets Policy.
- Implement projects to improve connections as identified in the pedestrian and bicycle master plans, along with the Safe Routes to School Plan.
- Construct roadways to reduce vehicle speeding.
- Construct new sidewalks set back farther from the street for increased safety and accommodate winter maintenance operations.
- Utilize the existing right-of-way to accommodate pedestrians and bicyclists.
- Create safe road crossings in high traffic areas.
- Bury parallel utility lines to provide safer corridors and accommodate space for pedestrians and bicyclists.

Goal: Explore opportunities to enhance mass transit systems.

Policies:

- Construct additional bus shelters attractive to users and safely located around intersections.
- Continue to work with Metro Transit to construct enhanced bus services.
- Work with existing groups and organizations to adequately meet the specialized transportation needs of seniors, youth, handicapped, and underprivileged citizens in the City.
- Promote mass transit options, such as bus rapid transit, to reduce dependence on automobiles and provide a diverse, balanced set of public transportation alternatives.
- Promote telecommuting and flex scheduling to reduce traffic.
- Identify or develop additional park-and-ride lots throughout the City to encourage transit ridership.

Goal: Improve regional and local mobility and safe access to the City.

Policies:

- Continue to work with MnDOT and the State Legislature to improve the operations of I-35W, I-494 and TH 62.
- Construct the extension of International Boulevard under TH 77.
- Consider roundabouts at major intersections.
- Preserve pavement condition on local streets.

Sustainability and Resiliency Goals and Policies

Goal: Encourage the use of alternative energy sources and sustainable building practices.

- Establish long-range energy/greenhouse gas emission reduction goals and commit to conducting an energy Action Plan or Climate Action Plan.
- Continue participating in the GreenStep Cities Programs to develop sustainable best practices.
- Become an innovator in the use of alternative fuels, wind power, and other sustainable energy sources.
- Install solar panels or similar energy sources on public buildings and encourage owners of businesses and private property owners to do the same.
- Encourage sustainable building practices.

Goal: Encourage protection of the environment in the day-to-day conduct of City business.

Policies:

- Reduce pollutants through public transit, car-pooling, traffic control, use of berms and trees, and stronger enforcement of pollution policies.
- Create more ways to monitor pollution and put plans in place to resolve problems.
- Use state-of-the-art methods to protect the environment in public projects and encourage the same in private development.
- Encourage innovative solutions to land use and transportation problems.
- Incorporate landscaping and aesthetics in all transportation improvements.

Goal: Encourage the use of alternative power sources for public vehicles.

Policies:

• Make fuel efficiency and alternative fuels a high priority when purchasing vehicles for use by the City.

Goal: Explore opportunities for policy change related to pollinator habitat protection, local food production and entrepreneurship, the promotion of healthy food retail, and waste reduction.

Park System Goals and Policies

Goal: Maintain and enhance Richfield's park system for today and tomorrow's residents. *Policies:*

- Make facility improvements and lifecycle replacement based on demonstrated need. The City will evaluate recreation facility use prior to improvements or and at the end of a facility's lifecycle, and will determine at that time whether participation or use warrants replacement, conversion to an alternate uses, or removal.
- Preserve crucial public places like parks, recreation areas, open spaces, wetlands, and Wood Lake Nature Center.
- Provide all residents with access to parkland and trails within a walkable distance.
- Include criterion for all new park and facility improvements that improves sustainability of materials, energy use, operating cost and lifecycle replacement, and natural environmental impact.
- Incorporate best practices for stormwater retention in new park development and in the renovation of existing parks.
- Acquire park land on a willing seller basis. Where possible, the City will work to obtain a first right of refusal to purchase lands identified in this document.
- Prohibit tobacco use at all recreational facilities.
- Encourage parks to be naturalized with prairie grasses and pollinator-friendly plants, where suitable, to minimize maintenance costs and to beautify parks.

Goal: Provide recreational opportunities for all members of the community.

- Provide all residents with access and the opportunity to be involved in recreation programs, regardless of age, culture and mobility level throughout the City.
- Provide adequate seating, shade, trail lighting and a trail loops of varying lengths in parks to encourage active living for an aging population.
- Provide public safety improvements as needed to ensure park and trail user's well-being. The City will utilize Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) strategies.
- Provide parks and recreation facilities that are handicap accessible consistent with the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines for Building and Facilities and universal design principles.

Water Supply Goals and Policies

Goal: Provide residents and businesses with affordable potable water that is safe and of high

quality. Policies:

- Provide adequate water services throughout the community and areas designated for redevelopment.
- Provide a low-maintenance, efficient water system that supplies the long-term needs of residents and businesses.
- Provide a minimal hydrostatic pressure of 50 psi.
- Selectively increase the size of pipes in the distribution system for areas within the City where low water pressures exist.

Goal: Work with surrounding communities to provide an assured source of water in case of emergencies.

Policies:

- Support an appropriate level of state funding for interconnections and other physical water system improvements to ensure water supply reliability, natural resource protection, and/or safety and security, including economic security, of the region and state.
- Investigate interconnection options with neighboring cities.
- Design and construct improvements to the water system that meet demands of redevelopment and for sufficient fire protection for the entire community.

Goal: Protect the groundwater supply from contamination.

Policies:

- Promote water conservation and sustainability by reducing water demand, reducing the waste of water, improving the efficiency of the existing system, and educating the public on water conservation.
- Conduct a water rate study to adopt a conservation rate structure that promotes water conservation.

Surface Water Management Goals and Policies

Goal: Protect surface water quality by reducing the use of pesticides and chemical turf treatments that contribute to water pollution.

Policies:

• Demonstrate chemical treatment of surface waters as an innovative way to improve their quality and minimize their harm to the environment.

Goal: Provide a public education program to alert the public on the importance of protecting storm ponds from harmful pollutants and ensure the proper disposal of solid and liquid wastes.

Policies:

• Educate residents in responsible water quality management and ensure proper disposal of solid and liquid wastes.

Goal: Perform a regular maintenance program of existing storm drainage facilities, including sump, catch basin and retention basin cleaning, to protect private property from flood damage and maintain high water quality.

Policies:

• Design conveyance structures to accommodate a ten-year storm event and storage facilities to accommodate a one-hundred-year event.

• Eliminate illegal connections to the storm water system.

Goal: Explore innovative ways to improve the quality of surface water and evaluate the effectiveness of existing treatment methods at reasonable cost.

Policies:

- Research a variety of treatment methods to assess their effectiveness to improve water quality at a reasonable cost.
- Continue regular maintenance of storm ponds and drainage facilities to reduce damage to property and protect water quality.

Sanitary Sewer Goals and Policies

Goal: Provide high quality sanitary sewer service to the residents and businesses of Richfield in the most economical manner possible.

- Take all measures necessary to protect the capacity of the interceptor sewers that service the residents and businesses of Richfield.
- Place funding priorities on maintaining the existing municipal sanitary sewer system.
- Consider replacing old sanitary sewer pipe at the time of road reconstruction or redevelopment.
- Prepare a financial analysis prior to the reconstruction of any portion of the sanitary sewer system.
- Fund future sewer facility improvements identified in the Capital Improvements Plan.
- Determine who is responsible for the payment of reconstruction of sewer facilities will be made if insufficient capacity is available to serve the intensity of new development or redevelopment.

Goal: Provide sanitary sewer service that meets the requirements of the 2040 population and employment forecasts of the City.

- Make provisions to ensure sufficient sewer system capacity will serve the intensity of new development and redevelopment before final development approvals are granted by the City.
- Design trunk sewer expansions in relation to the proposed land use intensities outlined in the Land Use Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

Goal: Take all necessary measures to maintain the Metropolitan Council Environmental Services Design Peak Hour to Average Daily Flow (P/A) Ratio of 2.5 to avoid paying an MCES surcharge.

- Work with MCES staff to monitor the metered flows from the Richfield system.
- Continually monitor the sanitary sewer system to identify points of Inflow/Infiltration.
- Continue to eliminate points of Inflow/Infiltration to the sanitary sewer system on public property, and require the elimination of Inflow/Infiltration on private property.
- Periodically review and evaluate the sewer collection system, MCES treatment plant capacity, and the accuracy of metered flow data provided by MCES in relation to the confirmed community growth and development.
- Maintain operating efficiency, minimize sewage blockage, and reduce the potential for illness.
- Continue to improve the City's maintenance and inspection program.

SECTION TWO

SECTION TWO

FINANCING THE 2024 - 2027 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Richfield's planning for public improvements in the Capital Improvement Plan gives recognition both to community needs and to the City's ability to pay. A summary of each of the major revenue sources for the 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Plan is included below.

Source	Amount	
Issuance of Debt State	\$ 26,945,000 10,000,000	
Federal	9,135,000	
Franchise Fees	3,911,400	
Municipal State Aid 1,485,,000		
Special Revenues	2,200,000	
General Tax Levy 3,706,		
User Fees	9,150,000	
Other Funding	3,825,000	
TOTAL SOURCES OF FUNDS	\$ 70,357,800	

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

The Special Revenue Fund consists of profits from the Richfield Liquor stores. These funds are used for Capital Improvement Plan projects in lieu of issuing general obligation bonds and paying interest on the bonds. This source of funding is the backbone of the City's "pay-as-you-go" policy. For the years beginning in 2017 through 2019, the Municipal Liquor Fund did not make transfers to the Special Revenue Fund. This was due to liquor funds being required to fund the remodeling of three stores of the liquor operation and upgrade the HVAC system and signage at the south Lyndale Avenue store location. Beginning in 2020 the Municipal Liquor Fund resumed transfers by ordinance to the Special Revenue Fund. A history of the transfers from the Municipal Liquor Fund and the interest earned on those funds is included below.

SPECIAL REVENUE				
	<u>Liquor</u>	<u>Interest</u>		
<u>Year</u>	Profits	<u>Earnings</u>	<u>Total</u>	
2012	450,000	314	450,314	
2013	450,000	135	450,135	
2014	450,000	321	450,321	
2015	450,000	207	450,207	
2016	99,500	721	100,221	
2017	-	874	874	
2018	-	2,128	2,128	
2019	-	2,345	2,345	
2020	450,000	1,125	451,125	
2021	500,000	140	500,140	

INDUSTRIAL/COMMERCIAL REVENUE BONDS

In past years, the City has issued Industrial/Commercial Revenue Bonds to finance development within the City. The Industrial/Commercial Revenue Bond or tax exempt notes are placed in the name of the City to promote economic development and increase the tax base of the City. The proceeds of the bonds or notes are used to purchase plants and equipment. The bonds are repaid from the payments of the Industrial/Commercial Company.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BONDS

Under Minnesota Statute, Section 475.521, a municipality may issue bonds to finance capital improvements, under an approved capital improvement plan. Capital improvements are defined in the statute as the acquisition or betterment of public lands, buildings, or other improvements for the purpose of a city hall, town hall, library, public safety facility, and public works facility. Finally, an improvement must have an expected useful life of five years or more to qualify.

FEDERAL AND STATE GRANTS/COUNTY AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

It is the City's policy to explore available sources of federal, state, county, and local funds and to use these funds whenever possible. In recent years, the City has received a significant level of federal and state funding relating to the Penn Avenue and Lyndale Avenue Bridges, and the 77th Street Underpass reconstruction projects for example. It is anticipated that this use of federal and state funding will continue. One project that is planned to be funded by federal and state monies is the new Wood Lake Nature Center building.

MUNICIPAL STATE AID

The State of Minnesota provides funds for both construction and maintenance of certain streets in Richfield. The policy of the City is to finance traffic control improvements, and to partially finance street improvements, with municipal state aid construction funds. The state aid maintenance funds are used for designated maintenance purposes. With the completion of improvements on municipal state aid roadways, construction funds may be transferred to maintenance. The most recent annual allotment of these funds to the City of Richfield total approximately \$1,608,898 of which \$315,000 is for maintenance, \$34,500 is for engineering and \$ 1,259,398 for construction.

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING

The State Housing and Redevelopment Act has established a method of financing improvements in a renewal area. This statute enables a local Housing and Redevelopment Authority or City to finance redevelopment activities and public improvements through a tax increment program, without federal assistance and without additional burdens on the community's tax dollar. Since 1975, the City has created twenty-one tax increment districts. The tax increment receipts are used to pay the debt service on the City's General Obligation Redevelopment Bonds and to fund redevelopment activities such as land acquisition, relocation and demolition. Remaining debt service on General Obligation Redevelopment Bonds as of December 31, 2021 is shown below.

GENERAL OBLIGATION REDEVELOPMENT BONDS SCHEDULE DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS

ANNUAL PAYMENTS					
YEAR	PRINCIPAL	INTEREST	TOTAL	UNPAID PRINCIPAL	
2022	790,000	84,528	874,528	1,925,000	
2023	815,000	56,772	871,772	1,110,000	
2024	850,000	24,256	874,256	260,000	
2025	260,000	3,738	263,738	-	
	\$3,475,000	\$276,724	\$3,751,724		

12/31/21

General Obligation Improvement Bonds

It is the policy of the City to assess property for improvements that directly benefit the property. Such improvements include alleys, sidewalks, permanent streets, and street lighting. A minimum of twenty percent of the cost of a project must be assessed to the benefited property for assessment bond eligibility. However, there has been a shift in this policy with regard to funding for street reconstruction projects. The City has decided to utilize street reconstruction bonds to fund the reconstruction of City streets. The funding of the debt service of these bonds is accomplished through debt service tax levies instead of other types of funding.

The City has \$48,810,000 of G. O. Improvement bonds outstanding as of December 31, 2021. Annual debt service requirements for the bonds are shown below.

GENERAL OBLIGATION IMPROVEMENT BONDS SCHEDULED DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS

<u>12/31/21</u> ANNUAL PAYMENTS

ΙΙΝΡΔΙΠ

				UNPAID
YEAR	PRINCIPAL	INTEREST	TOTAL	PRINCIPAL
				\$50,280,000
2024	4 405 000	4 9 4 5 9 9 5		
2021	4,185,000	1,345,825	5,530,825	46,095,000
2022	2,970,000	1,241,586	4,211,586	43,125,000
2023	3,055,000	1,157,169	4,212,169	40,040,000
2024	3,155,000	1,068389	4,223,389	36,915,000
2025	3,255,000	976,744	4,231,744	33,660,000
2026	3,340,000	886,653	4,226,653	30,320,000
2027	3,435,000	797,529	4,232,529	26,885,000
2028	3,530,000	705,361	4,235,361	23,355,000
2029	3,095,000	616,092	3,711,092	20,260,000
2030	2,105,000	545,114	2,650,114	18,155,000
2031	2,180,000	487,143	2,667,143	15,975,000
2032	2,230,000	427,433	2,657,433	13,745,000
2033	2,280,000	365,245	2,645,245	11,465,000
2034	2,230,000	301,395	2,531,395	9,235,000
2035	2,145,000	237,920	2,382,920	7,090,000
2036	1,860,000	179,714	2,039,714	5,230,000
2037	1,660,000	129,539	1,789,539	3,570,000
2038	1,700,000	80,616	1,780,616	1,870,000
2039	1,155,000	36,906	1,191,906	715,000
2040	530,000	11,438	541,438	185,000
2041	185,000	2,081	187,081	-
	\$50,280,000	\$11,599,892	\$61,879,892	

The debt service funding for general obligation improvement bonds are typically accomplished through debt service tax levies or special assessment levies or a combination of both. The current outstanding general obligation improvement debt is planned to be funded by debt service tax levies, cash on hand, special assessment collections and interest earnings.

USER FEES

User fees are collected by the City for direct services provided to its residents, including water, sewer, and storm sewer service. The charges in these funds are structured to cover current operations and the depreciation of the fixed assets such as buildings and fixtures. The portion of the fees which covers depreciation is retained in the fund as cash and retained earnings to use when the replacement of fixed assets becomes necessary.

The City also charges user fees internally for services provided by the Central Garage, Information Technology, Self Insurance, and Government Buildings operations. The user fees are also structured to cover current operations and depreciation.

GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

The City may sell special assessment bonds, general obligation bonds, capital improvement bonds, revenue bonds, or gross revenue bonds to finance Capital Improvements. The City can attach the designation general obligation bonds to all types of bonds except gross revenue bonds. The effect of such designation is the ability to sell bonds at a lower interest rate and the City pledges its full faith and credit on the bonds.

The City's current bond rating is AA+ bond rating from Standard and Poor's for issues since 2010. The rating is an excellent rating for a suburban community like Richfield.

The City's adjusted net tax capacity value on real and personal property for pay 2021 is \$44,357,613, including the value base obtained from the Metropolitan Area Fiscal Disparity Program.

The City may issue general obligation bonds equal to a debt limit of 3% of market value of taxable property. Although the 2021 year-end total of bonded debt is \$61,190,000, not all of this is applicable to the debt limit.

Legal Debt Margin Calculation for Fiscal Year 2021			
Taxable Market Value	\$	4,107,705	
Debt Limit (3 Percent of Market Value) Debt Applicable to Limit	\$	123,231	
General Obligation bonds		44,306	
Legal Debt Margin	\$	78,925	

The computation of direct and overlapping debt is a computation that shows debt of another unit of government that at least some of the reporting government's taxpayers will also have to pay in whole or in part. The City of Richfield's level of overlapping debt is calculated below.

Jurisdiction	Net Debt Outstanding	Percentage Applicable to City of Richfield	Amount Applicable to City of Richfield
City of Richfield	\$ 45,375,000	100%	\$ 45,375,000
Hennepin County ISD #280, Richfield, MN	\$ 1,037,033,516 129,039,843	1.84% 67.16%	\$ 19,081,417 86,663,159
Hennepin Suburb Park District	45,542,541	2.61%	1,188,660
Hennepin Regional RR Authority	89,332,530	1.84%	1,643,719
Metropolitan Council	73,049,600	.90%	 657,446
	\$ 1,373,998,030	_	\$ 109,234,401
Total	\$ 1,419,373,030	_	\$ 154,609,401

COMPUTATION OF DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING DEBT

The City's debt policies as stated in the annual budget are included at the end of this section. There are several projects currently included in the Capital Improvement Plan that are planning on the issuance of general obligation debt to fund all or part of a project.

DEBT POLICIES

- I. Debt Limits
 - a. Legal Limits:
 - i. Minnesota Statutes, Section 475 prescribes the statutory debt limit that outstanding principal of debt cannot exceed 3% of taxable market value. This limitation applies to "Net Debt" as defined in Section 475.51, Minnesota Statutes, as well as lease purchases or installment contracts (465.71) greater than or equal to \$1,000,000. HRA public project revenue bonds or lease revenue bonds with financing lease agreement with a city or county also count against the statutory debt limit.
 - ii. The City's ability to issue debt will conform to the City Charter.
 - b. Policy Limits:
 - i. The City will weigh the benefits and costs of long-term borrowing for planned capital improvements and short-term debt for capital outlay. In addition, when considering financing of capital expenditures, the City may consider paying cash for capital financing as well as debt financing.
 - ii. Uses of Debt: Debt will be used only for capital improvements, projects, or acquisitions that cannot be financed from current revenue. The City will not utilize debt for cash flow borrowing or to support current operations.
 - iii. In the in event of some unforeseen cause where taxes or other sources become insufficient or a natural disaster or public emergency should subject the City to making extraordinary expenditures, the City may by resolution issue debt emergency certificates on a short term basis.
 - iv. CIP and Financial Planning: The City's capital improvement plan shall contain debt assumptions which match this policy and requires a commitment to

long range financial planning which looks at multiple years of capital and debt needs.

- v. Tax Increment Bonds: The City shall use G.O. Tax Increment Bonds only when the project meets statutory requirements.
- vi. When capital improvements/projects are financed by issuing debt, the debt will be paid back within a period not to exceed the expected useful life of the project, with at least 50% of the principal retired within 2/3 of the term of the bond issue.
- vii. Where possible the City will use special assessment, revenue or other self supporting debt not counting against statutory imposed limitations.
- viii. The City will retire any tax anticipation debt annually and will retire bond anticipation debt within six months after completion of the project.
- c. Financial Limits:
 - Direct debt is the amount of general obligation principal or lease obligations supported by taxes which are outstanding for which the City is obligor. Indirect debt is the amount of the City's share of tax supported debt of other overlying taxing jurisdictions. Direct debt as a percentage of the City's taxable market value shall not exceed 6.67%.
 - ii. Bond issues may require a special debt levy. The City's goal has been to maintain the amount of the property tax levy dedicated to debt service (principal and interest plus 5% for G.O. bonds) will not exceed 25% of total annual locally generated operating expenditures.
- II. Use of Variable Rate Debt and Derivatives
 - a. Variable Rate Debt. The City will shall use variable rate debt only if total principal and interest of the debt constitutes less than 20% of the City's total debt payments and only if circumstances dictate the need for a short term call date.
 - b. Derivatives. The City will not use derivative based debt.
- III. Debt Structuring Practices
 - a. Term: State law limits general obligation debt to 30 years in most circumstances. The City will attempt to keep the stated maturity of debt at or below 20 years.
 - b. Term of Equipment: State law allows cities to issue debt (known as equipment certificates or capital notes) up to a term of 10 years for the purpose of purchasing equipment. The City will utilize the issuance of capital notes when the option of funding equipment purchases with other resources is not available. The term of any debt issue for purposes of acquiring equipment shall not exceed the useful life of the assets financed.
- IV. Debt Issuance Practices
 - a. Rating Agencies: The City will maintain good communications with bond rating agencies regarding its financial condition. The City will follow a policy of full disclosure in every financial report and bond prospectus.
 - b. Bondholders: The City will maintain good communications with its bondholders regarding its financial condition.
 - c. Method of Sale: The City shall use competitive bidding for all of its debt unless the debt is so specialized in its nature that is will not attract more than 2 bids.
 - d. Refunding:
 - i. The City with the assistance of a financial advisor will track and identify opportunities for restructuring or refinancing debt. Furthermore, when feasible, the City will use refunding mechanisms to reduce interest and evaluate the use of debt reserves or other accumulated balances to lower overall debt service where possible.
 - Advance refunding bonds shall not be utilized unless present value savings of 3% of refunded principal is achieved and unless the call date is within 4 years. This is in accordance with state law.

- iii. Current refunding bonds shall not be utilized unless present value savings of 3% of refunded principal is achieved or in concert with other bond issues to save costs of issuance.
- iv. Special assessment or revenue debt will not be refunded unless it is determined that special assessments or other sufficient revenues will not be collected soon enough to pay off the debt fully at the call date.
- e. Professional Services. The City shall use an outside bond attorney, an independent financial advisor to structure the sale of debt, and a paying agent for book entry transactions.
- V. Debt Management Practices
 - a. Investment of bond proceeds. The City shall invest bond proceeds in a separate account in order to account for earnings on invested proceeds for the purposes of complying with arbitrage regulations.
 - b. Disclosure: The City shall comply with SEC rule 15(c)2(12) on primary and continuing disclosure. Continuing disclosure reports shall be files no later than 180 days after the end of the fiscal year.
 - c. Arbitrage Rebate: The City shall complete an arbitrage rebate report for each issue no less than every five years after its date of issuance.
 - d. The City will transfer any residual balances in matured debt service funds to the Closed Bond Fund.
- VI. Updates of Policy
 - a. The Finance Manager will be responsible for reviewing and amending this policy as dictated by changes in related statutes and bring these updates to the City Council for approval.

SECTION THREE

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET & PLAN

OVERVIEW

The final CIB/CIP document reflects a wide ranging group of projects which are designed to meet the most pressing capital needs of the community. The 2023 Capital Improvement Budget (CIB) along with the 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) as generated by the City Manager is presented to a meeting of the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission then reviews the CIB/CIP for conformance with the City's Comprehensive Plan. Upon approval by the Planning Commission, the CIB/CIP is returned to the City Manager. The City Manager then incorporates the CIB/CIP into the 2022 Revised/2023 Proposed Budget, to be considered by the City Council.

2023 Capital Improvement Budget (CIB)

The 2023 Capital Improvement Budget (CIB) reflects funding for numerous projects throughout the city. In 2023 construction is planned to finish the 77th Street Underpass project and 65th St from 66th St/Rae Drive to Nicollet. The project also includes pedestrian, stormwater and water main improvements that will help facilitate the planned Hub redevelopment. The City will also contribute local funding to MnDOT led improvements on I-494. Project 1 of the I-494: MSP Airport to HWY 169 project consists of improvements to the 494/35W Interchange, local access reconfiguration at Portland, Nicollet and 12th and a new pedestrian bridge at Chicago Ave: early construction activity is scheduled to begin summer of 2023.

The CIB also includes funding for several other utility projects including the final year of the city wide water meter replacement program, sanitary sewer main lining, the rehabilitation of storm water collection mains and manhole structures, and improvements at the Water Treatment Plant.

Finally, the 2023 CIB recommends \$550,000 of Special Revenue funding for recreation and open space improvements. The funding will be used for park capital projects, building repair for the community center and Wood Lake Nature Center buildings, the replacement of park play feature equipment at Donaldson Park and expansion of the skate park at Augsburg Park.

2024-2027 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)

Over the next several years, several major arterial roads in the City will be reconstructed or improved. Hennepin County plans a full reconstruct of Nicollet Avenue in 2026 and the City will need to contribute according the county's local share policies. The reconstruction of 69th Street is also planned for 2026 as part of the Emergency Water Interconnect project, followed by 70th Street in 2027. There are also several pedestrian improvement projects planned for 73rd St if the City secures federal funding via the regional solicitation process.

The recommended CIP includes funding for a new Wood Lake Nature Center building in 2025, as well as significant pool improvements. The plan also continues to fund major maintenance for City parks, and the replacement of the City's rolling stock and technology equipment.

Other Considerations

The City's 2023-2026 Strategic Plan prioritizes a comprehensive assessment of all the City's facilities, recreation, right of way and utility assets to be completed by 2025 and to develop a long-term sustainable funding plan by 2026. These studies will guide the development and implementation of future capital improvement plans.

PLAN SUMMARY ESTIMATED COSTS AND FUNDING SOURCES

2023 Capital Improvement Budget

PROJECT EXPENDITURE

RECREATION & OPEN SPACE		
Donaldson Park Play Equipment	110,000	R
Ice Arena Sign	40,000	R
Multi-Year Community Center/Wood Lake Building Repair	20,000	R
Multi-Year Ice Arena Repair	20,000	R
Multi-Year Park Maintenance	50,000	R
Multi-Year Park Maintenance/Wood Lake Fence Repair	15,000	R
Outdoor Pool Improvements	80,000	R
Skate Park Expansion	90,000	R
Skate Park Expansion	90,000	С
Wood Lake Nature Center Building	125,000	R
TOTAL REC. & OPEN SPACE	\$ 640,000	

RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENT

494 Project 1	950,000	М
494 Project 1	350,000	FF
65th Street Reconstruction	2,000,000	UB
65th Street Reconstruction	3,100,000	В
65th Street Reconstruction	1,800,000	Μ
65th Street Reconstruction	1,100,000	F
71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk	173,000	S
71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk	44,000	FF
71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk	5,000	0
77th St Railrod Crossing Replacement	400,000	FF
77th Street Underpass	2,000,000	S
77th Street Underpass	1,000,000	Μ
77th Street Underpass	2,000,000	F
77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement	508,151	Μ
77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement	121,485	F
ADA Improvements	100,000	FF
HUB Redevelopment Participation	500,000	U
HUB Redevelopment Participation	70,000	FF
HUB Redevelopment Participation	500,000	0
Multi-Year Bicycle Improvements	40,000	FF
Multi-Year Pavement Management Program	541,600	FF
Multi-Year Pedestrian Improvements	40,000	FF
Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction	150,000	U
Rehabilitation of Stormwater Collection Mains	200,000	U
Rehabilitation of Stormwater Collection Manhole Structures	200,000	U
Sanitary Sewer Main Lining	800,000	U
Speed Limits Implementation	50,000	FF
Traffic Signal Controller Upgrades	50,000	Μ
Watermain Rehabilitation	200,000	U

TOTAL RIGHT-OF-WAY IMPROVEMENT

\$ 18,993,236	୬ 10,993,∠30
---------------	--------------

PUBLIC FACILITIES		
Central Garage Equipment	700,000	TL
City Wide Water Meter Upgrade	525,000	U
Lift Station #7 Ice Arena Cement Wall Extension & Cover	45,000	U
Lime Filter Press Rehabilitaion	90,000	U
Technology Equipment	160,100	ΤL

Water Treatment Plant-Education Center	50,000	U
Water Treatment Plant-Effluent Meter Upgrade	100,000	U
Water Treatment Plant-Network	50,000	U
Water Treatment Plant-Roof Replacement	1,000,000	U
TOTAL PUBLIC FACILITIES	\$ 2,720,100	
TOTAL USES OF FUNDS	\$ 22,353,336	
ESTIMATED REVENUE BY SOURCE		
(B) G.O. Improvement Bonds		
(C) Hennepin County	90,000	
(F) Federal Grant	3,221,485	
(FF) Franchise Fees	1,635,600	
(M) Municipal State Aid	4,308,151	
(O) Other Funding	505,000	
(R) Special Revenue	550,000	
(RB) Referendum Bonds	-	
(S) State	2,173,000	
(TL) Tax Levy	860,100	
(U) User Fees	3,910,000	
(UB) G.O. Utility Bonds	2,000,000	
(X) Xcel Energy	-	
TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE	\$ 22,353,336	

	PROJECT EXPENDIT	URE
RECREATION & OPEN SPACE		
Adams Hill Park Play Equipment	110,000	R
Fairwood Park Play Equipment	110,000	R
Multi-Year Community Center/Wood Lake Building Repair	20,000	R
Multi-Year Ice Arena Repair	20,000	R
Multi-Year Park Maintenance	50,000	R
Multi-Year Park Maintenance/Wood Lake Fence Repair	15,000	R
Outdoor Pool Improvements	65,000	R
Veterans Park Sign	35,000	R
Wood Lake Nature Center Building	125,000	R
TOTAL REC. & OPEN SPACE	\$ 550,000	
RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENT		
ADA Improvements	200,000	FF
Multi-Year Bicycle Improvements	40,000	FF
Multi-Year Pavement Management Program	541,600	FF
Multi-Year Pedestrian Improvements	40,000	FF
Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction	540,000	U
Rehabilitation of Stormwater Collection Mains	200,000	U
Rehabilitation of Stormwater Collection Manhole Structures	200,000	U
Richfield Parkway RRFB Installation	300,000	FF
Sanitary Sewer Main Lining	900,000	U
Speed Limits Implementation	150,000	FF
Watermain Rehabilitation	200,000	U
TOTAL RIGHT-OF-WAY IMPROVEMENT	\$ 3,311,600	
PUBLIC FACILITIES		
Central Garage Equipment	721,000	TL
Taft Storage Site Improvements	75,000	U
Technology Equipment	164,900	TL
Water Treatment Plant-Education Center	100,000	U
Water Treatment Plant-Generator Fuel Tank	135,000	U
Water Treatment Plant-Network	50,000	U
Water Treatment Plant-Panel Board and VFD Replacement	90,000	U
TOTAL PUBLIC FACILITIES	\$ 1,335,900	
TOTAL USES OF FUNDS	\$ 5,197,500	
ESTIMATED REVENUE BY SOURCE		
(B) G.O. Improvement Bonds	-	
(C) Hennepin County	-	
(F) Federal Grant	-	
(FF) Franchise Fees	1,271,600	
(M) Municipal State Aid	-	
(O) Other Funding	-	
(R) Special Revenue	550,000	
(RB) Referendum Bonds	-	
· · /		
(S) State	•	
(TL) Tax Levy	885,900	
(U) User Fees	2,490,000	
(UB) G.O. Utility Bonds (X) Xcel Energy	-	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE	\$ 5,197,500	

PROJECT EXPENDITURE

-

	FROJECTEXFENDIN	
RECREATION & OPEN SPACE		
Heredia Park Play Equipment	110,000	R
Little Bob's Playground Equipment	110,000	R
Multi-Year Community Center/Wood Lake Building Repair	20,000	R
Multi-Year Ice Arena Repair	20,000	R
Multi-Year Park Maintenance	50,000	R
Multi-Year Park Maintenance/Wood Lake Fence Repair	20,000	R
Outdoor Pool Improvements	55,000	R
Outdoor Pool Improvements	2,820,000	RB
Tennis Court Rebuild/Repair	165,000	R
Wood Lake Nature Center Building	6,750,000	RB
Wood Lake Nature Center Building	3,000,000	F
Wood Lake Nature Center Building	10,000,000	S
TOTAL REC. & OPEN SPACE	\$ 23,120,000	
RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENT		
ADA Improvements	200,000	FF
Multi-Year Bicycle Improvements	40,000	FF
Multi-Year Pavement Management Program	541,600	FF
Multi-Year Pedestrian Improvements	40,000	FF
Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction	810,000	U
Rehabilitation of Stormwater Collection Mains	200,000	U
Rehabilitation of Stormwater Collection Manhole Structures	200,000	U
Sanitary Sewer Main Lining	900,000	U
T.H. 62 Noise Barrier West	250,000	М
Traffic Signal Replacements	260,000	М
Watermain Rehabilitation	200,000	U
TOTAL RIGHT-OF-WAY IMPROVEMENT	\$ 3,641,600	
PUBLIC FACILITIES		
Central Garage Equipment	742,600	TL
Technology Equipment	169,900	TL
Nater Treatment Plant-Education Center	500,000	U
Water Treatment Plant-Education Center	50,000	U
	50,000	U
TOTAL PUBLIC FACILITIES	\$ 1,462,500	
TOTAL USES OF FUNDS	\$ 28,224,100	

ESTIMATED REVENUE BY SOURCE

(B) G.O. Improvement Bonds

(C) Hennepin County	-
(F) Federal Grant	3,000,000
(FF) Franchise Fees	821,600
(M) Municipal State Aid	510,000
(O) Other Funding	-
(R) Special Revenue	550,000
(RB) Referendum Bonds	9,570,000
(S) State	10,000,000
(TL) Tax Levy	912,500
(U) User Fees	2,860,000
(UB) G.O. Utility Bonds	-
(X) Xcel Energy	-
TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE	\$ 28,224,100

	PROJECT EXPENDIT	JRE
RECREATION & OPEN SPACE		
Donaldson Park Building Renovation	400,000	R
Multi-Year Community Center/Wood Lake Building Repair	20,000	R
Multi-Year Ice Arena Repair	20,000	R
Multi-Year Park Maintenance	50,000	R
Multi-Year Park Maintenance/Wood Lake Fence Repair	20,000	R
Tennis Court Rebuild/Repair	40,000	R
TOTAL REC. & OPEN SPACE	\$ 550,000	
RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENT		
69th St Emergency Water Interconnect/Street Recon	6,000,000	UB
69th St Emergency Water Interconnect/Street Recon	2,000,000	В
73rd St Bridge and Bikeways	3,700,000	0
73rd St Bridge and Bikeways 73rd St Safe Routes to School Trail	5,500,000	F
73rd St Safe Routes to School Trail 73rd St Safe Routes to School Trail	635,000 175,000	FF
75th St Pavement Maintenance		м
ADA Improvements	700,000 200,000	FF
Multi-Year Bicycle Improvements	40,000	FF
Multi-Year Pavement Management Program	541,600	FF
Multi-Year Pedestrian Improvements	40,000	FF
Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction	500,000	U
Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction	3,635,000	В
Rehabilitation of Stormwater Collection Mains	200,000	U
Sanitary Sewer Main Lining	900,000	U
Traffic Signal Replacements	275,000	Μ
Watermain Rehabilitation	500,000	U
- TOTAL RIGHT-OF-WAY IMPROVEMENT	\$ 25,541,600	
Watermain Rehabilitation - TOTAL RIGHT-OF-WAY IMPROVEMENT		
PUBLIC FACILITIES	704 000	T 1
Central Garage Equipment	764,900	
Technology Equipment Water Treatment Plant-Network	175,000 50,000	TL U
Fuel/Salt Storage Facility	50,000 1,300,000	B
TOTAL PUBLIC FACILITIES	\$ 2,289,900	

TOTAL USES OF FUNDS	\$ 28,381,500
(B) G.O. Improvement Bonds	6,935,000
(C) Hennepin County	
(F) Federal Grant	6,135,000
(FF) Franchise Fees	996,600
(M) Municipal State Aid	975,000
(O) Other Funding	3,700,000
(R) Special Revenue	550,000
(RB) Referendum Bonds	- · · ·
(S) State	-
(TL) Tax Levy	939,900
(U) User Fees	2,150,000
(UB) G.O. Utility Bonds	6,000,000
(X) Xcel Energy	-
TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE	\$ 28,381,500

	PROJEC		URE
RECREATION & OPEN SPACE			
Multi-Year Community Center/Wood Lake Building Repair		20,000	R
Multi-Year Ice Arena Repair		20,000	R
Multi-Year Park Maintenance		50,000	R
Multi-Year Park Maintenance/Wood Lake Fence Repair		20,000	R
Tennis Court Rebuild/Repair		40,000	R
washington Park Building/Picnic Shelter		400,000	R
TOTAL REC. & OPEN SPACE	\$	550,000	
70th Street Reconstruction		3,375,000	UB
70th Street Reconstruction		125,000	X
ADA Improvements		200,000	FF
Multi-Year Bicycle Improvements		40,000	FF
Multi-Year Pavement Management Program		40,000 541,600	FF
Multi-Year Pedestrian Improvements		40,000	FF
Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction		40,000 1,065,000	В
Rehabilitation of Stormwater Collection Mains			U
		200,000	
Sanitary Sewer Main Lining		900,000	U
Watermain Rehabilitation	•	500,000	U
TOTAL RIGHT-OF-WAY IMPROVEMENT	\$	6,986,600	
PUBLIC FACILITIES			
Central Garage Equipment		787,800	ΤL
Technology Equipment		180,300	ΤL
Water Treatment Plant-Network		50,000	U
TOTAL PUBLIC FACILITIES	\$	1,018,100	
TOTAL USES OF FUNDS	\$	8,554,700	
ESTIMATED REVENUE BY SOURCE			
(B) G.O. Improvement Bonds		1,065,000	
(C) Hennepin County		-	
(F) Federal Grant		_	
(FF) Franchise Fees		821,600	
		021,000	
(M) Municipal State Aid		-	
(O) Other Funding		-	
(R) Special Revenue		550,000	
(RB) Referendum Bonds		-	
(S) State		-	
(TL) Tax Levy		968,100	
(U) User Fees		1,650,000	
(UB) G.O. Utility Bonds		3,375,000	
(X) Xcel Energy		125,000	
TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE	\$	8,554,700	

Capital Improvement Plan - Beyond 2027

	PROJECT EXPENDIT	JRE
RECREATION & OPEN SPACE		
Multi-Year Community Center/Wood Lake Building Repair	20,000	R
Multi-Year Ice Arena Repair	20,000	R
Multi-Year Park Maintenance	50,000	R
Multi-Year Park Maintenance/Wood Lake Fence Repair	20,000	R
Tennis Court Rebuild/Repair	40,000	R
Ice Arena Roof, Desiccant, Fascia	1,370,000	R
Nicollet Park Building/Picnic Shelter	400,000	R
TOTAL REC. & OPEN SPACE	\$ 1,920,000	
ADA Improvemente	200.000	EE
ADA Improvements	200,000	FF FF
Multi-Year Bicycle Improvements	40,000 541 600	FF
Multi-Year Pavement Management Program Multi-Year Pedestrian Improvements	541,600 40,000	FF
Rehabilitation of Stormwater Collection Mains	,	rr U
	1,000,000	U
Sanitary Sewer Main Lining Watermain Rehabilitation	900,000 18,400,000	U
Traffic Signal Improvements	2,285,000	M
76th Street West Reconstruction	3,000,000	UB
76th Street West Reconstruction	1,770,000	В
76th Street West Reconstruction	2,230,000	F
76th Street West Reconstruction	1,000,000	Г
Bloomington Ave and Diagonal Blvd	1,500,000	Ň
Bloomington Ave and Richfield Pkwy	1,500,000	M
Humboldt Ave/Lakeshore Drive Recon.	3,100,000	UB
Humboldt Ave/Lakeshore Drive Recon.	6,000,000	B
North Lyndale Ave Reconstruction	3,000,000	м
Penn Avenue Reconstruction	2,500,000	UB
Penn Avenue Reconstruction	3,250,000	В
TH62 Noise Barrier East	85,000	м
TOTAL RIGHT-OF-WAY IMPROVEMENT	\$ 52,341,600	
PUBLIC FACILITIES		
Central Garage Equipment	811,400	TL
Technology Equipment	185,700	TL
Roof Replacement of Well Houses	85,000	U
TOTAL PUBLIC FACILITIES	\$ 1,082,100	
TOTAL USES OF FUNDS	\$ 55,343,700	
ESTIMATED REVENUE BY SOURCE		
(B) G.O. Improvement Bonds	11,020,000	
(C) Hennepin County	11,020,000	
(C) Hernepin County (F) Federal Grant	2,230,000	
	821,600	
(FF) Franchise Fees (M) Municipal State Aid		
(M) Municipal State Aid (O) Other Funding	8,370,000	
(R) Special Revenue	- 1,920,000	
(iv) openial ivevenue	1,520,000	

(RB) Referendum Bonds	-
(S) State	-
(TL) Tax Levy	997,100
(U) User Fees	20,385,000
(UB) G.O. Utility Bonds	8,600,000
(X) Xcel Energy	1,000,000
TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE	\$ 55,343,700

2023 CIB 2024 - 2027 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN - CITY OF RICHFIELD, MINNESOTA

PROJECTS	CIB 2023		2024		2025		2026		2027		TOTAL* CIP COST	Beyond 2027	
RECREATION													
OPEN SPACE DEVELOPMENT													
23-Donaldson Park Play Equipment	110,000	R									-		
23-Ice Arena Sign	40,000 20,000	R R	20,000	Б	20,000	Б	20,000	Б	20,000	R	- 80,000	20,000	в
23-Multi-Year Community Center Building Repair 23-Multi-Year Ice Arena Repair	20,000	R	20,000		20,000	R	20,000	R	20,000	R	80,000	20,000	R
23-Multi-Year Park Maintenance	50,000	R	50,000		50,000		50,000	R	50,000		200,000	50,000	R
23-Multi-Year Wood Lake Building and Fence Repair	15,000	R	15,000	R	20,000	R	20,000	R	20,000	R	75,000	20,000	R
23-Outdoor Pool Improvements	80,000	R	65,000	R	55,000	R					120,000		
23-Outdoor Pool Improvements	-		-		2,820,000	RB					2,820,000		
23-Skate Park Expansion	90,000	R									-		
23-Skate Park Expansion 23-Wood Lake Nature Center Building	90,000 125,000	C R	125,000	Б							- 125,000		
23-Wood Lake Nature Center Building	125,000	ĸ	125,000	ĸ	6,750,000	RB					6,750,000		
23-Wood Lake Nature Center Building					3,000,000						3,000,000		
23-Wood Lake Nature Center Building					10,000,000						10,000,000		
24-Adams Hill Park Play Equipment			110,000	R							110,000		
24-Fairwood Park Play Equipment			110,000	R							110,000		
24-Veterans Park Sign			35,000	R							35,000		
25-Heredia Park Play Equipment					110,000						110,000		
25-Little Bob's Park Play Equipment					110,000	R	40.000		40.000	_	110,000	40.000	
25-Tennis Court Rebuild/Repair 26-Donaldson Park Building Renovation					165,000	ĸ	40,000 400,000	R	40,000	R	245,000 400,000	40,000	ĸ
27-Washington Park Building/Picnic Shelter							400,000	ĸ	400,000	R	400,000		
Ice Arena Roof, Desiccant, Fascia									,		-	1,370,000	R
Nicollet Park Building/Picnic Shelter											-		R
TOTAL RECREATION & OPEN SPACE	640,000		550,000		23,120,000		550,000		550,000		24,770,000	1,920,000	
C) County	90,000				-		-		-		-	-	
(F) Federal Grant	-		-		3,000,000		-		-		3,000,000	-	
(OR) Other Recreation Funding	-		-		-		-		-		-	-	
(R) Special Revenue	550,000		550,000		550,000		550,000		550,000		2,200,000	1,920,000	
(PP) Potorondum Bondo											0 570 000		
(RB) Referendum Bonds	-		-		9,570,000		-		-		9,570,000	-	
(S) State			-		10,000,000		-				10,000,000		-
	- - 640,000	 	- - 550,000	-		-	- - 550,000	-	- - 550,000	-		- - 1,920,000	
(S) State – TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE –	· · · ·		550,000	-	10,000,000	-	- - 550,000	<u>.</u>	- - 550,000	-	10,000,000 24,770,000	- - 1,920,000	-
(S) State	СІВ			-	10,000,000 23,120,000	-		-	i	-	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		
(S) State – TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE –	· · · ·		- - 550,000 2024		10,000,000	<u> </u>	- - 550,000 2026	<u> </u>	- - 550,000 2027	<u> </u>	10,000,000 24,770,000	- 1,920,000 Beyond 2027	
(S) State – TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE – <u>PROJECTS</u> –	СІВ			<u> </u>	10,000,000 23,120,000	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	i	<u> </u>	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		-
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS	CIB 2023				10,000,000 23,120,000	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	i	<u> </u>	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		
(S) State	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000	FF UB			10,000,000 23,120,000	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	i		10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		-
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000	FF UB			10,000,000 23,120,000	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	i	<u> </u>	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		-
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000	FF UB B M			10,000,000 23,120,000			<u> </u>	i		10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		-
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000	FF UB B M F			10,000,000 23,120,000				i	<u>.</u> .	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		-
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000	FF UB B M F S			10,000,000 23,120,000				i	-	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		-
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 173,000	FF UB B M F S		<u> </u>	10,000,000 23,120,000			<u> </u>	i	<u> </u>	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 173,000 44,000	FF UB M F S FF O			10,000,000 23,120,000	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	i	<u> </u>	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		-
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 1,100,000 1,73,000 44,000 5,000	FF UB M F S FF O		<u> </u>	10,000,000 23,120,000	<u> </u>		<u>.</u>	i	<u> </u>	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		-
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-45th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-77th St Railroad Crossing Replacement 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 44,000 5,000 400,000 1,000,000 2,000,000	FF UB M F S FF O FF M F		<u> </u>	10,000,000 23,120,000	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	i	<u> </u>	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-77th St Railroad Crossing Replacement 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 1,100,000 440,000 1,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	FF UB M F S FF O FF M F S			10,000,000 23,120,000	<u> </u>		<u>.</u>	i	<u> </u>	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71th St Railroad Crossing Replacement 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 44,000 5,000 400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 508,151	FF UB M F S FF O FF M F S M			10,000,000 23,120,000	<u> </u>		<u>.</u>	i	<u> </u>	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71th St Realized Crossing Replacement 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 44,000 5,000 400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 508,151 121,485	FF UB M F S FF O FF M F S M F	2024		10,000,000 23,120,000 2025		2026		2027		10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL* CIP COST - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Beyond 2027	
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71th St Railroad Crossing Replacement 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 44,000 5,000 400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 508,151	FF UB M F S FF O FF M F S M F		FF	10,000,000 23,120,000				i		10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL*		FF
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-55th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-ADA Improvements	CIB 2023 950,000 3,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,100,000 1,100,000 44,000 5,000 400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 508,151 121,485 100,000	FF UB M F S F O F M F S M F F U	2024		10,000,000 23,120,000 2025		2026		2027		10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL* CIP COST - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Beyond 2027	FF
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-ADA Improvements 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation	CIB 2023 950,000 3,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 1,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 508,151 121,485 100,000 500,000	FF UB M F S F O F M F S M F F U	2024		10,000,000 23,120,000 2025	FF	2026		2027	FF	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL* CIP COST - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Beyond 2027	FF
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71t Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-71th Jundale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-RUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HU	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 44,000 40,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 508,151 121,485 100,000 500,000 500,000 40,000	FF UB M F S FF O FF M F S M F FF U FF O FF	2024	FF	10,000,000 23,120,000 2025 2025 200,000 40,000	FF	2026	FF	2027	FF	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL* CIP COST - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Beyond 2027 200,000 40,000	FF
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-81st	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 44,000 5,000 400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 508,151 121,485 100,000 500,000 500,000 40,000 541,600	FF UB M F S FF O FF M F S M F FF U FF O FF FF	2024 200,000 40,000 541,600	FF FF	10,000,000 23,120,000 2025 2025 200,000 200,000 40,000 541,600	FF FF	2026 200,000 40,000 541,600	FF FF	2027 200,000 40,000 541,600	FF FF	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL* CIP COST - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Beyond 2027 200,000 40,000 541,600	FF FF
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-77th St Railroad Crossing Replacement 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-70th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-Multi-Year Bicycle Improvements 23-Multi-Year Pavement Management Program 23-Multi-Year Potestrian Improvements	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 44,000 5,000 400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 508,151 121,485 100,000 500,000 70,000 500,000 40,000	FF UB M F S FF O FF M F S M F FF U FF O FF FF	2024 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000	FF FF FF	10,000,000 23,120,000 2025 2025 200,000 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000	FF FF FF	2026 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000	FF FF FF	2027	FF FF	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL* CIP COST - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Beyond 2027 200,000 40,000	FF FF
(s) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS NEGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th /Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-Multi-Year Pavement Management Program 23-Multi-Year Pedestrian Improvements 23-Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 44,000 5,000 400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 508,151 121,485 100,000 500,000 500,000 40,000 541,600	FF UB M F S FF O FF M F S M F FF U FF O FF FF	2024 200,000 40,000 541,600	FF FF FF	10,000,000 23,120,000 2025 2025 200,000 200,000 40,000 541,600	FF FF FF	2026 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000 500,000	FF FF FF U	2027 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000	FF FF FF	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL* CIP COST - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Beyond 2027 200,000 40,000 541,600	FF FF
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-77th St Railroad Crossing Replacement 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-70th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-Multi-Year Bicycle Improvements 23-Multi-Year Pavement Management Program 23-Multi-Year Potestrian Improvements	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 44,000 5,000 400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 508,151 121,485 100,000 500,000 70,000 500,000 40,000	F UB M F S FF O FF M F S M F FF U FF O FF FF FU	2024 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000	FF FF FF U	10,000,000 23,120,000 2025 2025 200,000 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000	FF FF FF U	2026 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000	FF FF U B	2027 200,000 40,000 541,600	FF FF FF	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL* CIP COST - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Beyond 2027 200,000 40,000 541,600	FF FF FF
(s) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-71bl Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-Multi-Year Bicycle Improvements 23-Multi-Year Padestrian Improvements 23-Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction	CIB 2023 950,000 350,000 2,000,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 1,100,000 440,000 1,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 508,151 121,485 100,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000	F UB M F S FF O FF M F S M F FF U FF O FF FF FU	2024 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000	FF FF U U	10,000,000 23,120,000 2025 2025 200,000 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000 810,000	FF FF U U	2026 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000 500,000 3,635,000	FF FF U B	2027 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000 1,065,000	FF FF FF	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL* CIP COST - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Beyond 2027 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000	FF FF FF
(S) State TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE PROJECTS RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS 23-494 Project 1 23-494 Project 1 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-65th Street Reconstruction 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk 23-71th St Railroad Crossing Replacement 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th Street Underpass 23-77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-701 Street Underpass 23-77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-HUB Redevelopment Participation 23-Multi-Year Pacestrian Improvements 23-Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction 23-Rehabilitation of Stormwater Collection Mains	CIB 2023 950,000 3,50,000 2,000,000 3,100,000 1,800,000 1,100,000 44,000 5,000 400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 508,151 121,485 100,000 500,000 70,000 504,600 40,000 541,600 40,000 200,000 200,000	FUBMFSFOFMFSMFFUFOFFFFU U	2024 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000 540,000 200,000	FF FF U U U	10,000,000 23,120,000 2025 2025 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000 810,000 200,000	FF FF U U U	2026 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000 500,000 3,635,000	FF FF U B U	2027 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000 1,065,000	FF FF B U	10,000,000 24,770,000 TOTAL* CIP COST - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Beyond 2027 200,000 40,000 541,600 40,000	FF FF FF

2023 CIB 2024 - 2027 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN - CITY OF RICHFIELD, MINNESOTA

	СІВ										TOTAL*		
PROJECTS	2023		2024		2025		2026		2027		CIP COST	Beyond 2027	
23-Speed Limit Implementation	50,000		150,000	FF							150,000		
23-Traffic Signal Controller Upgrades	50,000	M									-		
23-Watermain Rehabilitation 24-Richfield Parkway RRFB Installation	200,000	U	200,000 300,000	U ==	200,000	U	500,000	U	500,000	U	1,400,000 300,000	18,400,000	U
25-T.H. 62 Noise Barrier West			300,000	rr	250,000	м					250,000		
25-Traffic Signal Replacements					260,000		275,000	м			535,000	2,285,000	м
26-69th Emergency Water Interconnect/Street Recon							6,000,000				6,000,000	,,	
26-69th Emergency Water Interconnect/Street Recon							2,000,000	в			2,000,000		
26-73rd St Bridge and Bikeways							3,700,000	0			3,700,000		
26-73rd St Bridge and Bikeways							5,500,000	F			5,500,000		
26-73rd Street Safe Routes to School Trail							635,000	F			635,000		
26-73rd Street Safe Routes to School Trail							175,000	FF			175,000		
26-77th Street Pavement Maintenance							700,000	М			700,000		
70th Street Reconstruction									3,375,000		3,375,000		
70th Street Reconstruction									125,000	х	125,000		
76th Street West Reconstruction											-	3,000,000	
76th Street West Reconstruction											-	1,770,000	
76th Street West Reconstruction												2,230,000	
76th Street West Reconstruction											-	1,000,000	
Bloomington Ave and Diagonal Blvd											-	1,500,000	
Bloomington Ave and Richfield Pkwy											-	1,500,000	
Humboldt Ave/Lakeshore Drive Recon.											-	3,100,000	
Humboldt Ave/Lakeshore Drive Recon. North Lyndale Ave Reconstruction											-	6,000,000 3,000,000	
Penn Avenue Reconstruction											-	2,500,000	
Penn Avenue Reconstruction											_	3,250,000	
TH62 Noise Barrier East											_	85,000	
	18,993,236		3,311,600		3,641,600	• •	25,541,600		6,986,600		39,481,400	52,341,600	
=										• •	<u> </u>		-
(B) G.O. Improvement Bonds	3,100,000		-		-		5,635,000		1,065,000		6,700,000	11,020,000	
(C) Hennepin County	-		-		-		-		-		-	-	
(F) Federal Grant	3,221,485		-		-		6,135,000		-		6,135,000	2,230,000	
(FF) Franchise Fees	1,635,600		1,271,600		821,600		996,600		821,600		3,911,400	821,600	
(M) Municipal State Aid	4,308,151		-		510,000		975,000		-		1,485,000	8,370,000	
(O) Other (S) State	505,000 2,173,000		-		-		3,700,000		-		3,700,000	-	
(U) User Fees	2,050,000		- 2,040,000		- 2,310,000		- 2,100,000		- 1,600,000		- 8,050,000	20,300,000	
(UB) G.O. Utility Bonds	2,000,000		2,040,000		2,310,000		6,000,000		3,375,000		9,375,000	8,600,000	
(X) Xcel Energy			-		-		-		125,000		125,000	1,000,000	
TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE	18,993,236		3,311,600		3,641,600	•	25,541,600		6,986,600		39,481,400	52,341,600	-
=		_		-				_					=
PROJECTS	CIB										TOTAL*		
-	2023		2024		2025		2026		2027		CIP COST	Beyond 2027	_
PUBLIC FACILITIES													
23-Central Garage Equipment	700,000		721,000	ΤL	742,600	ΤL	764,900	ΤL	787,800	TL	3,016,300	811,400	TL
23-City Wide Water Meter Upgrade	525,000										-		
23-Lift Station #7 Ice Arena Cement wall extension & (45,000	U									-		
23-Lime Filter Press Rehabilitation	90,000										-		
23-Technology Replacement	160,100		164,900		169,900		175,000	TL	180,300	TL	690,100	185,700	TL
23-Water Treatment Plant-Education Center	50,000		100,000	U	500,000	U					600,000		
23-Water Treatment Plant-Effluent Meter Upgrade 23-Water Treatment Plant-Network	100,000 50,000		50,000		50,000		50,000		50,000		- 200,000		
23-Water Treatment Plant-Network	1,000,000		50,000	0	50,000	U	50,000	U	50,000	U	200,000		
24-Taft Storage Site Improvements	1,000,000	U	75,000	U							- 75,000		
24-Water Treatment Plant-Generator Fuel Tank			135,000	U							135,000		
24-Water Treatment Plant-Panel Board and VFD Replac	cement		90,000								90,000		
26-Fuel/Salt Storage Facility			,•	-			1,300,000	в			1,300,000		
Roof Replacement of Well Houses												85,000	U
TOTAL PUBLIC FACILITIES	2,720,100		1,335,900	· -	1,462,500		2,289,900		1,018,100		6,106,400	1,082,100	_
=				. =									-
(B) G.O. Bonds	-		-		-		1,300,000		-		1,300,000	-	
(O) Other Funding	-		-		-		-		-		-	-	
(TL) Tax Levy	860,100		885,900		912,500		939,900		968,100		3,706,400	997,100	
(U) User Fees	1,860,000		450,000		550,000		50,000		50,000		1,100,000	85,000	_
TOTAL FUNDING BY SOURCE	2,720,100		1,335,900		1,462,500		2,289,900		1,018,100		6,106,400	1,082,100	=

2023 CIB 2024 - 2027 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN - CITY OF RICHFIELD, MINNESOTA

	CIB					TOTAL*	
PROJECTS	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	CIP COST	Beyond 2027
SUMMARY PROJECTS							
Recreation/Open Space Development	640,000	550,000	23,120,000	550,000	550,000	24,770,000	1,920,000
Right of Way Improvements	18,993,236	3,311,600	3,641,600	25,541,600	6,986,600	39,481,400	52,341,600
Public Facilities	2,720,100	1,335,900	1,462,500	2,289,900	1,018,100	6,106,400	1,082,100
TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECTS	22,353,336	5,197,500	28,224,100	28,381,500	8,554,700	70,357,800	55,343,700
(B) G.O. Improvement Bonds	3,100,000	-	-	6,935,000	1,065,000	8,000,000	11,020,000
(C) Hennepin County	90,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
(F) Federal Grant	3,221,485	-	3,000,000	6,135,000	-	9,135,000	2,230,000
(FF) Franchise Fees	1,635,600	1,271,600	821,600	996,600	821,600	3,911,400	821,600
(M) Municipal State Aid	4,308,151	-	510,000	975,000	-	1,485,000	8,370,000
(O) Other Funding	505,000	-	-	3,700,000	-	3,700,000	-
(R) Special Revenue	550,000	550,000	550,000	550,000	550,000	2,200,000	1,920,000
(RB) Referendum Bonds	-	-	9,570,000	-	-	9,570,000	-
(S) State	2,173,000	-	10,000,000	-	-	10,000,000	-
(TL) Tax Levy	860,100	885,900	912,500	939,900	968,100	3,706,400	997,100
(U) User Fees	3,910,000	2,490,000	2,860,000	2,150,000	1,650,000	9,150,000	20,385,000
(UB) G.O. Utility Bonds	2,000,000	-	-	6,000,000	3,375,000	9,375,000	8,600,000
(X) Xcel Energy				<u> </u>	125,000	125,000	1,000,000
TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES	22,353,336	5,197,500	28,224,100	28,381,500	8,554,700	70,357,800	55,343,700

* Total CIP costs do not include any project costs reflected in the 2022 CIB.

PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS

RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Augsburg Skate Park Expansion</u> 2023 Capital Budget (CIB)

2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Augsburg Skate Park Expansion
2. Total project cost:	\$180,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$90,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$90,000	% of total	50%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$90,000	% of total	50%
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$180,000/year		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The Augsburg Skate Park, constructed in 2012, has been a popular facility with skateboarders in the community. A group of them, working with Richfield High School staff, approached Recreation Services about the possibility of expanding the facility to include features that would be more friendly to beginners. An adjacent area that had previously been a basketball court would provide the space for such an expansion. Grant opportunities will be explored for 50% of the project cost.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Donaldson Park Playground Equipment</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Donaldson Park Playground Equipment
2. Total project cost:	\$110,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$110,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$110,000	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$110,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Replace the play equipment structure at Donaldson Park in accordance with the Play Equipment Replacement Schedule.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Ice Arena Sign</u> 2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Ice Arena Sign
2. Total project cost:	\$40,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$40,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$40,000	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$40,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The Ice Arena sign, currently located in the southwest corner of the lot, is in need of updating due to outdated information, outdated design, general wear, and a need for a more dynamic digital sign that can display information.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

P	3.6		E I
Preserve	Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Community Center Building Repair</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Community Center Building Repair
2. Total project cost:	\$20,000/year
3. Years to complete:	Annual ongoing major maintenance items
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	Yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2019
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$20,000	2027 CIP	\$20,000
2024 CIP	\$20,000	Beyond 2027	\$20,000 per year
2025 CIP	\$20,000		
2026 CIP	\$20,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$20,000/year	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$20,000/year		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Various repair and major maintenance projects to the Community Center building and surrounding infrastructure that will be identified and prioritized each year by Recreation Services staff. Items may include HVAC repairs, roof repairs, window and flooring repairs, and other repair and replacement of existing capital items.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve X	Manage X	Replace/Improve X	Expand
		p	

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Ice Arena Repair</u> 2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Ice Arena Repair
2. Total project cost:	\$20,000/year
3. Years to complete:	Annual ongoing major maintenance items
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$20,000	2027 CIP	\$20,000
2024 CIP	\$20,000	Beyond 2027	\$20,000 per year
2025 CIP	\$20,000		
2026 CIP	\$20,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$20,000/year	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$20,000/year		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Various repair and major maintenance projects to the Ice Arena building, rink equipment, and surrounding infrastructure that will be identified and prioritized each year by Recreation Services staff. Items may include repair or replacement of HVAC equipment, roof, windows, flooring, ice rink equipment, bleachers, and other repair and replacement of existing capital items.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve X	Manage X	Replace/Improve X	Expand
	Manage A	Replace/Improve A	Плрани

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Parks Major Maintenance</u> 2023 Capital Budget (CIB)

2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Parks Major Maintenance
2. Total project cost:	\$50,000/year
3. Years to complete:	Annual ongoing major maintenance items
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	Yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2000
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$50,000	2027 CIP	\$50,000
2024 CIP	\$50,000	Beyond 2027	\$50,000/year
2025 CIP	\$50,000		
2026 CIP	\$50,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$50,000/year	% of total	100
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$50,000/year		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Various projects relating to the upkeep of existing park infrastructure are identified and prioritized each year by Recreation Services and Public Works Maintenance staff. Projects include tennis/basketball court resurfacing, trail resurfacing, roof replacement, parking lot reconstruction, field renovation and other repair or partial replacement of existing park capital items.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve X N	Manage X Re	place/Improve X	Expand
--------------	-------------	-----------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Wood Lake Building and Fence Repair</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Wood Lake Building and Fence Repair
2. Total project cost:	\$15,000/year or \$20,000/year
3. Years to complete:	Annual ongoing major maintenance items
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	Yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2019
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$15,000	2027 CIP	\$20,000
2024 CIP	\$15,000	Beyond 2027	\$20,000 per year
2025 CIP	\$20,000		
2026 CIP	\$20,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$15,000 or 20,000/year	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$15,000 or 20,000/year		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Various repair and major maintenance projects to the Wood Lake Nature Center buildings and fencing (wood and chain link) that will be identified and prioritized each year by Recreation Services staff. Items may include HVAC repairs, roof repairs, window and flooring repairs, and other repair and replacement of existing capital items.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve X	Manage X	Replace/Improve X	Expand
		p	

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Outdoor Pool Improvements</u> 2023 Capital Budget (CIB)

2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Outdoor Pool Improvements
2. Total project cost:	\$3.1M
3. Years to complete:	4
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	Yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2022
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$80,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	\$65,000	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP	\$55,000		
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$280,000	% of total	
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants	TBD		
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$2,820,000	% of total	
TOTAL	\$3,100,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: .

The Richfield Pool has its original pool liner that is 60 years old which is in need a complete re-build along with much of the aquatics equipment. This project will also include the addition of a splash pad which will be a wonderful new upgrade to this aging facility. Staff will be putting together a funding plan for this large capital project, but a portion of it will need to be budgeted out of the CIB funds.

- 9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X
- **10.** Priority ranking system
- A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Wood Lake Nature Center Building</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Wood Lake Nature Center Building
2. Total project cost:	\$20 million (\$350,000 in special revenue)
3. Years to complete:	3+
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	Yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2022
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$125,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	\$125,000	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$350,000	% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants	TBD		
Federal	\$5,000,000	% of total	
State	\$10,000,000 (?)	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$4,650,000	% of total	
TOTAL	\$20,000,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: .

Wood Lake Nature Center needs a complete re-build as its current building is 50- years old and is falling into disrepair. Staff will be putting together a funding plan for this large capital project, and a piece of it needs to include a local contribution which will be budgeted through CIB over the course of the next three years. The new building will be sustainably designed and will be able to meet the current demands and needs from across the community and region that are placed on the center.

- 9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X
- **10.** Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage Replace/Improve X Expand	
--	--

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	X
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Adams Hill Park Playground Equipment</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Adams Hill Park Play Equipment
2. Total project cost:	\$110,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	\$110,000	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$110,000	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$110,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Replace the play equipment structure at Adams Hill Park in accordance with the Play Equipment Replacement Schedule.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Fairwood Park Playground Equipment</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Fairwood Park Playground Equipment
2. Total project cost:	\$110,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	\$110,000	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$110,000	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$110,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Replace the play equipment structure at Fairwood Park in accordance with the Play Equipment Replacement Schedule.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Veterans Park Sign</u> 2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Veterans Park Sign
2. Total project cost:	\$35,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	\$35,000	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$35,000	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$35,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The Veterans Park sign, located at the entrance to the west parking lot at 64th Street and Portland Avenue, is a digital sign that is currently non-functional. The sign is needed to display information about the facilities located there, including Wheel Fun Mini Golf and Malt Shop, the Vets Park shelter, and the Farmers Market.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

		-	
D 17	3.6 37		F 1
Preserve X	Manage X	Replace/Improve X	Expand
	Tranage 11	itepiace, improve it	LApana

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Heredia Park Playground Equipment</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Heredia Park Playground Equipment
2. Total project cost:	\$110,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP	\$110,000		
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$110,000	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$110,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Replace the play equipment structure at Heredia Park in accordance with the Play Equipment Replacement Schedule.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Little Bob's Park Playground Equipment</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Little Bob's Park Playground Equipment
2. Total project cost:	\$110,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP	\$110,000		
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$110,000	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$110,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Replace the play equipment structure at Little Bob's Park in accordance with the Play Equipment Replacement Schedule.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Tennis Court Rebuild/Repair</u> 2023 Capital Budget (CIB)

2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Tennis Court Rebuild/Repair (locations TBD)
2. Total project cost:	\$285,000
3. Years to complete:	4
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	\$40,000
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	\$40,000
2025 CIP	\$165,000		
2026 CIP	\$40,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$285,000	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$285,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Several tennis courts in the city are in need of resurfacing, which is a significant expense and courts will have to be prioritized by condition and level of use. In 2025, staff will determine the courts most in need of resurfacing or rebuilding for the initial \$165,000 and determine the courts most in need with each subsequent year.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	X
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Donaldson Park Building Renovation</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Donaldson Park Building Renovation
2. Total project cost:	\$400,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP	\$400,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$400,000	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$400,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The old, outdated concrete structure at Donaldson Park is in need of renovation or demolition and complete reconstruction.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage Replace/Improve X Expand
--

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	X
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	X

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Washington Park Building/Picnic Shelter</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Washington Park Building/Picnic Shelter
2. Total project cost:	\$400,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	2027 CIP	\$400,000
2024 CIP	Beyond 2027	,
2025 CIP		
2026 CIP		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$400,000	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$400,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The park building at Washington Park was built in 1994 and has been one of the least utilitzed park buildings in Richfield. The park is a popular destination for soccer, basketball, and Pickleball players, as well as visitors to the playground. An open-sided shelter would be put to much greater use than an enclosed building.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Man	ige Replace/Im	prove X Ex	pand
--------------	----------------	------------	------

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Ice Arena Roof, Desiccant, Fascia</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Ice Arena Roof, Desiccant, Fascia
2. Total project cost:	\$1.37m
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	Beyond 2027	\$1.37m
2025 CIP		
2026 CIP		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	TBD	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$1.37m		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The Ice Arena roof, desiccant, and fascia are deteriorating and will need significant repair and replacement in upcoming years. Staff will investigate funding options beyond special revenue to complete the project.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

PreserveManageReplace/Improve XExpand

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	X
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	X

<u>Recreation & Open Space</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Nicollet Park Building/Picnic Shelter</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Nicollet Park Building/Picnic Shelter
2. Total project cost:	\$400,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Recreation Services

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	Beyond 2027	\$400,000
2025 CIP		
2026 CIP		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$	% of total	
Special Revenue	\$400,000	% of total	100%
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$400,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The park building at Nicollet Park was built in 1994 and is used as storage for the Recreation Services Department, going unused as a park structure by the public. The park is a popular destination for tennis and basketball players, as well as visitors to the walking path and playground. An open-sided shelter would likely be put to greater use than an enclosed building.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

|--|

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

RIGHT OF WAY IMPROVEMENTS

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – 65th St Reconstruction</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	65th St Reconstruction
2a. Total project cost:	\$12,900,000
2b. CIB/CIP cost:	\$8,000,000
3. Years to complete:	2
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	Yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2022
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$8,000,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding (Total/CIB-CIP):

User Fees (Utility	\$4,600,000/\$2,000,000	% of total	35.66%
Bonds)			
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds (Street	\$5,400,000/\$3,100,000	% of total	41.86%
Recon)			
Mun. State Aid	\$1,800,000/\$1,800,000	% of total	13.95%
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other (ARPA)	\$1,100,000/\$1,100,000	% of total	8.53%
TOTAL	\$12,900,000/\$8,000,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Reconstruction of 65th Street from Nicollet Avenue to 66th Street/Rae Drive, and striping improvements on Lyndale Avenue from 64th St to 66th St. The project will replace the outdated road design and the deteriorating road infrastructure. The project also includes replacement and expansion of storm sewer utilities to address regional flooding, new utilities on the east end of the corridor, and intersection control at Lyndale Avenue. The project included a public input process to identify the future design and streetscape.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – 71st St Safe Routes to School Sidewalk</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	71st St SRTS Sidewalk
2. Total project cost:	\$222,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$222,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State	\$173,000	% of total	77.93%
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees	\$44,000	% of total	19.82%
Other - RPS	\$5,000	% of total	2.25%
TOTAL	\$222,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Installation of a sidewalk on 71st Street from Elliot Ave to 12th Ave adjacent to the Richfield Dual Language School and STEM Elementary campus. Work also includes fence realignment and ADA improvements. This project uses a MnDOT Safe Routes to School Infrastructure Grant.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage Replace/Improve X Expand X
--

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	X

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – 77th Street Railroad Crossing Replacement</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	77 th St Railroad Crossing Replacement
2. Total project cost:	\$400,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$400,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees	\$400,000	% of total	100%
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$400,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The railroad crossing of 77th Street at Pleasant Ave is failing and requires replacement as soon as possible.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve	Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
----------	--------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – 77th St Underpass</u> 2023 Capital Budget (CIB)

2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	77th St Underpass
2a. Total project cost:	\$24,210,000
2b. CIB/CIP cost:	\$5,000,000
3. Years to complete:	3
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	Yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2021
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$5,000,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding (Total/CIB-CIP):

User Fees	\$170,000	% of total	0.70%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$2,400,000/\$1,000,000	% of total	9.91%
Grants			
Federal	\$5,350,000/\$2,000,000	% of total	22.10%
State	\$11,690,000/\$2,000,000	% of total	48.29%
County		% of total	
Other (MnDOT)	\$4,000,000	% of total	16.52%
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other (MAC & TRPD)	\$600,000	% of total	2.48%
TOTAL	\$24,210,000/\$5,000,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The project will extend 77th Street under Highway 77 to connect to the 24th Avenue Interchange at I-494. Right-of-way acquisition is complete for the project. The underpass will include bike and pedestrian accommodations. The project also includes the construction of Richfield Parkway from 77th Street to 76th Street along an 18th Ave alignment. This section of Richfield Parkway will replace the frontage road connection at 77th Street to Old Cedar Avenue. The project is expected to be substantially completed in 2023. \$2.8 Million dollars of Federal funds will be available in 2022, and \$4.2 Million dollars of Federal funds will be available in 2024.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage Replace/Improv	e Expand X
--------------------------------	------------

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	X
Community support:	X
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – 77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	77th/Lyndale Traffic Signal Replacement
2. Total project cost:	\$630,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$630,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$508,151	% of total	80.66%
Grants			
Federal	\$121,485	% of total	19.34%
(CRSSAA)			
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$630,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The traffic signal replacement is needed in preparation of the upcoming 494 Reconstruction project and must be completed prior to work on local bridges expected in 2024. The traffic signal, controller, and ADA facilities are all outdated and in need of replacement. The channelized EB to SB right turn is also identified for removal pending further study.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – 494 Project 1</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	494 Project 1
2. Total project cost:	\$1,300,000
3. Years to complete:	4
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$1,300,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 27	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$950,000	% of total	73.08%
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other -		% of total	
Bloomington			
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees	\$350,000	% of total	26.92%
Other – Metro		% of total	
Transit			
TOTAL	\$1,300,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: MnDOT's I-494: 169 to the Airport Project 1 includes replacement of the Nicollet Ave, Portland Ave, and 12th Ave bridges, construction of a pedestrian bridge at Chicago Ave, E-ZPass lanes on I-494 from Hwy 100 to I-35W, and highway interchange work at I-35W and I-494. Local costs reflected above include additional snow storage width on the 12th Avenue Bridge, trails/sidewalks north and along 494, frontage road lighting, 77th St and Nicollet Ave signal replacement cost share, and pedestrian improvements at 77th St and Chicago Ave.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х

Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – HUB Redevelopment Participation</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	HUB Redevelopment Participation
2. Total project cost:	\$1,070,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$1,070,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2028	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$500,000	% of total	46.73%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
Franchise Fees	\$70,000	% of total	6.54%
Other - Developer	\$500,000	% of total	46.73%
TOTAL	\$1,070,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: In conjunction with redevelopment of the HUB shopping center property, the City will work with the developer to construct stormwater infrastructure that will address regional flooding of public right-of-way near the HUB property. The infrastructure will be designed to handle runoff from public right-of-way, as well as anticipated developed conditions on the HUB property. The costs for this infrastructure will be shared between the City and the developer. The City will also likely share cost to complete sidewalk installation along 65th St that is not being constructed with the 65th St Reconstruction Project.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	X
Land use compatibility:	X
Community support:	X
Cost effectiveness:	X

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Multi-Year Bicycle Improvements</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Multi-Year Bicycle Improvements
2. Total project cost:	\$200,000 through five years
3. Years to complete:	Ongoing
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	Yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2018
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$40,000	2027 CIP	\$40,000
2024 CIP	\$40,000	Beyond 2027	\$40,000*
2025 CIP	\$40,000		
2026 CIP	\$40,000		
*Annually			

*Annually

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees	\$200,000	% of total	100%
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$200,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: As part of the implementation of the Bicycle Master Plan and Safe Routes to School Plan, improvements to bike facilities will be installed. Each route will go through a public input process prior to implementation. Improvements may be identified as signage, pavement markings, or other items identified in each plan.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Multi-Year Pavement Management Program</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Pavement Management Program
2. Total project cost:	\$2,708,000 through five years
3. Years to complete:	Ongoing
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	Yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2021
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$541,600	2027 CIP	\$541,600
2024 CIP	\$541,600	Beyond 2027	\$541,600*
2025 CIP	\$541,600		
2026 CIP	\$541,600		
* Annually			

* Annually

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees	\$2,708,000	% of total	100%
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$2,708,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The City's Accelerated 6-Year Mill and Overlay Project was completed in 2020. In order to protect the investments made in the City's roadway infrastructure, an ongoing pavement management program is needed. The program will address areas of greatest need throughout the City each year and may include mill and overlay, concrete replacement, sealcoating or reclamite treatments, crack sealing, and other various roadway improvements.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

|--|

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Multi-Year Pedestrian Improvements</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Multi-Year Pedestrian Improvements
2. Total project cost:	\$200,000 through five years
3. Years to complete:	Ongoing
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	Yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2018
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$40,000	2027 CIP	\$40,000
2024 CIP	\$40,000	Beyond 2027	\$40,000*
2025 CIP	\$40,000		
2026 CIP	\$40,000		
*Annually			

*Annually

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees	\$200,000	% of total	100%
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$200,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Spot pedestrian improvements, such as refuge islands, RRFBs, and pavement markings will be constructed where beneficial, as identified in the Pedestrian Master Plan and Safe Routes to School Plan to achieve the greatest improvement in public safety.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Rehabilitation of Stormwater System Manhole Structures</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Rehabilitation of Stormwater Collection System Manhole
	Structures
2a. Total project cost:	\$800,000
2b. CIB/CIP cost:	\$600,000
3. Years to complete:	4
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2022
5. Responsible department:	Public Works/Utilities

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$200,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	\$200,000	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP	\$200,000		
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$800,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
Tax Increment Fin		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$800,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The stormwater collection system was installed in the mid 1950's and is built of brick structures. As staff does ongoing maintenance and inspections, they are encountering structures that are passing water between the bricks. Rehabilitating the structures consists of installing a liner inside the existing structure. The liner is installed by inflating and heating which causes the liner to adhere to the bricks. The cooled liner then creates a structurally safe manhole structure. This technique is widely used in the industry and is done at the fraction of the cost of digging and replacing the complete structures. Once lined, the approximate life expectancy will be extended by 30 years.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

Γ

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve	Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
----------	--------	--------------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Rehabilitation of Stormwater Collection System Mains</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Rehabilitation of Stormwater Collection System Mains
2. Total project cost:	\$2,000,000
3. Years to complete:	10
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works/Utilities

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$200,000	2027 CIP	\$200,000
2024 CIP	\$200,000	Beyond 2027	\$1,000,000
2025 CIP	\$200,000		
2026 CIP	\$200,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$2,000,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
Tax Increment Fin		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$2,000,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The stormwater collection system was installed in the mid 1950's and is comprised of mostly reinforced concrete pipe (RCP). A cleaning and inspection program is underway to determine the condition of the stormwater collection mains. Mains that are identified as poor condition and not in need of upsizing for capacity will be rehabilitated using a cast-in-place-pipe (CIPP) lining method. This method is widely used for pipeline rehabilitation and does not require excavation. The rehabilitation will extend the life of the mains by up to 75 years.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Richfield Parkway RRFB Installation</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 20244-20277 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Richfield Parkway RRFB Installation
2. Total project cost:	\$300,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	\$300,000	Beyond 2028	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees	\$300,000	% of total	100%
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$300,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Installation of Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs) for pedestrian crosswalks at the existing 66th St/Richfield Parkway roundabout. The project will include replacement of pedestrian ramps in the roundabout approach medians to achieve ADA compliance.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Sanitary Sewer Main Lining</u> 2023 Capital Budget (CIB)

2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Sanitary Sewer Main Lining
2a. Total project cost:	\$21,679,000
2b. CIB/CIP cost:	\$20,000,000
3. Years to complete:	20
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	Yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2019
5. Responsible department:	Public Works/Sanitary

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$800,000	2027 CIP	\$900,000
2024 CIP	\$900,000	Beyond 2027	\$900,000
2025 CIP	\$900,000		
2026 CIP	\$900,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$20,000,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
Tax Increment Fin		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$20,000,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The wastewater collection system was installed in the late 1950's and mainly consists of VCP (Vitrified Clay Pipe). As staff does ongoing maintenance and television inspections, they encounter areas with tree root intrusion and/or pipes that have cracks. In order to preserve the level of service to our users and protect the mill and overlay investment, these pipes should be lined. Lining consists of installation of a liner in the existing clay pipe; which expands and heats the liner, causing adherence to the pipe. Once it cools, the liner hardens to a consistency of schedule 40 PVC, the appropriate standard. This technique is widely used in the industry and is done at a fraction of the cost of open cutting a street to replace the line. It's anticipated this program will be accelerated in the future and be completed in 5 yrs.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve	Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
	manage	incplace/implove A	Плрани

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Speed Limits Implementation</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Speed Limits Implementation
2. Total project cost:	\$200,000
3. Years to complete:	2
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$50,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	\$150,000	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees	\$200,000	% of total	100%
TOTAL	\$200,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Changes to state law in 2019 allowed cities to change speed limits within their jurisdiction. Council has expressed interest in making speed limit changes after Public Works' evaluation of existing traffic conditions. The above cost estimate is based on similar sized cities in the metro area that underwent similar changes and covers citywide sign and signal modifications. Staff will make a more detailed cost estimation during the traffic evaluation.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Traffic Signal Controller Upgrades</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Traffic Signal Controller Upgrades
2. Total project cost:	\$50,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$50,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$50,000	% of total	100%
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$50,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: All five of the City's traffic signal controllers are outdated and obsolete. Therefore, they must be upgraded prior to the I-494 Reconstruction project. This project will allow for proper signal timing and coordination throughout the 77th/76th Streets corridor.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Watermain Rehabilitation</u> 2023 Capital Budget (CIB)

2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Watermain Rehabilitation
2a. Total project cost:	\$21,775,000
2b. CIB/CIP cost:	\$20,000,000
3. Years to complete:	20
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works/Utilities

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$200,000	2027 CIP	\$500,000
2024 CIP	\$200,000	Beyond 2027	\$18,400,000
2025 CIP	\$200,000		
2026 CIP	\$500,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$20,000,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
Tax Increment Fin		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$20,000,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The water distribution system was installed in the 1960's and is comprised of mostly cast iron pipe (CIP) and ductile iron pipe (DIP). The pipe assets have shown an increase in failures over the last five years likely due to the assets approaching the end of their useful life. In an effort to restore the useful life of the distribution assets, staff began a desktop analysis of the water system which took into account pipe age, pipe location, and main break history to produce a comparison of potential pipe failure risk for each asset. This comparison will be used to prioritize investment of capital funds to renew the water system using trenchless technologies. This will be an ongoing investment in renewal of the water system.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve	Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Χ
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	X
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х

Cost	effectiveness:	
CUSL	circuiveness.	

Х

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – ADA Improvements</u> 2023 Capital Budget (CIB)

2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	ADA Improvements
2. Total project cost:	\$1,100,000
3. Years to complete:	6
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$100,000	2027 CIP	\$200,000
2024 CIP	\$200,000	Beyond 2027	\$200,000
2025 CIP	\$200,000		
2026 CIP	\$200,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees	\$1,100,000	% of total	100%
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$1,100,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The City's Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan must be upgraded to comply with State and Federal requirements. The upgraded plan will be used to identify missing or non-compliant ADA infrastructure within the right of way. This may include sidewalks, trails, curbs, corner pedestrian ramps, crosswalks, and other infrastructure. The plan will be used to program ADA infrastructure improvements throughout the City.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage X	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-------------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – 69th Street Emergency Water Interconnect/Reconstruction</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	69 th Street Emergency Water Interconnect/Reconstruction
2. Total project cost:	\$8,000,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP	8,000,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

Bonds (User Fees)	\$6,000,000	% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds	\$2,000,000	% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$8,000,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The Water Treatment Plant (WTP) was built in 1963 and to-date has been a standalone system. If the WTP were to have a catastrophic failure and be unable to produce water, the city is not equipped with a long-term emergency backup water source. Connecting to another City's water system would create a backup system, as well as provide a backup system for the partnering community. As part of the DNR's water supply plan, they encourage all cities to have an emergency backup water source. The project includes reconstruction of 69th Street between Penn Avenue and Xerxes Avenue, including narrowing of the roadway to add sidewalk to one side, reconstruction of retaining wall, lining or replacement of sanitary sewer, and replacement of storm sewer. The final roadway section will be determined following the City's public input process.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Ma	anage Replace/	Improve X Ex	pand
-------------	----------------	--------------	------

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х

Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – TH62 Noise Barrier West</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 20244-20277 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	TH62 Noise Barrier West
2a. Total project cost:	\$2,500,000
2b. CIB/CIP cost:	\$250,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP	\$250,000		
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$250,000	% of total	10%
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State	\$2,250,000	% of total	90%
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$2,500,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Richfield was selected to receive a 20 ft. concrete precast noise barrier that would be constructed from approximately Xerxes Avenue to Russell Avenue as part of the Minnesota Department of Transportation's Standalone Noise Barrier Program. MnDOT and Richfield are in agreement that it is important for the location of this noise wall to not preclude any future expansion options on Hwy 62. Noise wall location will be further refined during project scoping and preliminary design. The total cost of the project is \$2.5M of which Richfield's share is 10%.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – 77th Street Pavement Maintenance</u> 2023 Capital Budget (CIB)

2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	77 th St Pavement Maintenance
2. Total project cost:	\$700,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP	\$700,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$700,000	% of total	100%
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$700,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The concrete pavement panels and pavement joints on 77th Street are beginning to fail in certain areas and will need major maintenance in the near future to avoid full reconstruction. This work will address to worst areas of pavement failure, primarily east of Portland Ave.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

Γ

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve X Manage	Replace/Improve	Expand
-------------------	------------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	X

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Nicollet Avenue Reconstruction
2. Total project cost:	\$6,700,000
3. Years to complete:	5
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$150,000	2027 CIP	\$1,065,000
2024 CIP	\$540,000	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP	\$810,000		
2026 CIP	\$4,135,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$2,000,000	% of total	29.85%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds	\$4,700,000	% of total	70.15%
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$6,700,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Nicollet Ave Reconstruction from 66th St to 76th St led by Hennepin County. Road is near the end of its useful life, last reconstructed in 1961. Underground utilities will also be replaced and those costs will be identified as the project develops. Also includes ADA and bikeway upgrades. The City will seek to lead a robust public engagement process to guide the roadway design. Staff will pursue additional funding sources to offset the remaining costs currently identified for bonding. The City's share of costs, including design engineering and construction, are anticipated to be due to Hennepin County over a five year period, per the County's cost participation policies.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve	Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	X
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х

Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Traffic Signal Replacements</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Traffic Signal Replacements
2. Total project cost:	\$2,820,000
3. Years to complete:	12
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	\$2,285,000
2025 CIP	\$260,000		
2026 CIP	\$275,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$2,820,000	% of total	100%
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$2,820,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The existing city-owned traffic signals along the 76th St/77th St corridor will be reaching the ends of their expected life-cycles between 2025 and 2035. The signals will need to be replaced with new signal systems or alternative traffic control measures. The estimated total cost of signal replacements is \$2.82M, with the first signal being replaced no earlier than 2026.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve	Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
----------	--------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – 70th Street Reconstruction</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	70th Street Reconstruction
2. Total project cost:	\$3,500,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	2027 CIP	\$3,500,000
2024 CIP	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP		
2026 CIP		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees (Utility	\$3,375,000	% of total	96.43%
Bonds)			
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other (Xcel Rate	\$125,000	% of total	3.57%
Payers)			
TOTAL	\$3,500,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Reconstruction of 70th Street between 2nd Avenue to 5th Avenue, also including sidewalk, curb, and gutter as well as undergrounding of parallel utilities. Additionally, the reconstruction will comprise replacement of City utilities, including an 84" storm sewer pipe that will connect to the storm system installed with the Portland Avenue project. The existing pipe is being monitored for condition, and rate of deterioration may impact the year of reconstruction. Per current conditions, this has been identified as high risk through the Stormwater Risk Assessment. Design of the roadway will include a public participation process.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage Replace/Improve X Expand X
--

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х

Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – 73rd St Bridge and Bikeways</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	73rd St Bridge and Bikeways
2. Total project cost:	\$9,200,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP	\$9,200,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds	\$3,700,000	% of total	40.22%
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$5,500,000	% of total	59.78%
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
TOTAL	\$9,200,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Replacement of 73rd St pedestrian bridge over I-35W, sidewalk and trail additions along 73rd St from the new bridge to Lyndale Avenue and along Donaldson Park to the regional trail. The existing bridge is not ADA accessible, narrow, and disconnected from the existing pedestrian and bike network. The city is pursuing Metropolitan Council regional solicitation funding to construct the project in 2026. If awarded federal funding, the project will be moved forward in the CIP and staff will pursue additional funding sources to offset the remaining costs identified for bonding.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

	8		
Preserve	Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand X

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – 73rd St Safe Routes to School Trail</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	73rd St Safe Routes to School Trail
2. Total project cost:	\$810,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP	\$810,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$635,000	% of total	78.40%
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees	\$175,000	% of total	21.60%
TOTAL	\$810,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Installation of a new trail on 73rd St between Bloomington Ave and Cedar Ave. Trail includes new pedestrian ramps, improved crossings at Bloomington Ave and 16th Ave, and a new connection/crossing at Cedar Ave to the Nokomis-Minnesota River Regional Trail. The city is pursuing Metropolitan Council regional solicitation funding to construct the project in 2026. If awarded federal funding, the project will be moved forward in the CIP and staff will pursue additional funding sources to offset the remaining costs identified for bonding.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve	Expand X
-----------------	-----------------	----------

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – 76th Street West Reconstruction</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	76th Street West Reconstruction
2. Total project cost:	\$8,000,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	Beyond 2027	\$8,000,000
2025 CIP		
2026 CIP		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$3,000,000	% of total	37.50%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds	\$1,770,000	% of total	22.12%
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$2,230,000*	% of total	27.88%
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other - Xcel	\$1,000,000	% of total	12.50%
TOTAL	\$8,000,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Reconstruction of 76th Street between Sheridan Avenue and Xerxes Avenue, including intersection control at Upton Avenue, replacement of City utilities, undergrounding of overhead utilities, retaining wall and sidewalk replacement. The exact design of the roadway will be determined through a public input process, however a 4 to 3 lane conversion is expected. A mill & overlay took place in 2016 that upgraded pavement condition until the full reconstruction can take place in 2027. The city is pursuing Metropolitan Council regional solicitation funding to construct the project in 2027. If awarded federal funding, the project will be moved forward in the CIP and staff will pursue additional funding sources to offset the remaining costs identified for bonding. If not, the project will be constructed in 2028 and the bonding increases to \$4,000,000.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х

Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Bloomington Ave & Diagonal Blvd</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Bloomington Ave & Diagonal Blvd
2. Total project cost:	\$1,500,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	Beyond 2027	\$1,500,000
2025 CIP		
2026 CIP		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$1,500,000	% of total	100%
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
TOTAL	\$1,500,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Bloomington Ave and Diagonal Blvd is identified as a local intersection with a high injury crash. Multiple intersections nearby have sharp angles and difficult sightlines in addition to bike and pedestrian infrastructure. Permanent intervention requires realignment of three intersections (Bloomington/72nd, Diagonal/72nd, Bloomington/Diagonal). The above cost estimate reflects a similar project in the metro area. A more detailed cost estimate will come when the city pursues grant funding. Final design will be determined through public process.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Bloomington Ave & Richfield Pkwy</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Bloomington Ave & Richfield Pkwy
2. Total project cost:	\$1,500,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	Beyond 2027	\$1,500,000
2025 CIP		
2026 CIP		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$1,500,000	% of total	100%
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
TOTAL	\$1,500,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Bloomington Ave and Richfield Pkwy is identified as a local intersection with a high injury crash. Multiple intersections nearby can have difficult sightlines in addition to bike and pedestrian infrastructure. Metro Transit Route 14 also runs through the intersection area. Permanent intervention requires realignment of two intersections (Bloomington Ave/63rd St, Bloomington Ave/Richfield Pkwy). The above cost estimate reflects a similar project in the metro area. A more detailed cost estimate will come when the city pursues grant funding. Final design will be determined through a public input process.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

	8		
Preserve	Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> Project Summary Form – Humboldt Avenue/Lake Shore Drive Reconstruction

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Humboldt Avenue/Lake Shore Drive Reconstruction
2. Total project cost:	\$9,100,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	Beyond 2027	\$9,100,000
2025 CIP		
2026 CIP		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$3,100,000	% of total	34.07%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds	\$6,000,000	% of total	65.93%
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$9,100,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Reconstruction of Humboldt Avenue and Lake Shore Drive between 69th Street and 75th Street. A public input process will take place to identify the future road section and continuity. The reconstruction will include replacement of City utilities. The project is programmed to coincide with the adjacent 73rd St Pedestrian Bridge Replacement project; the extents and scope of this project will likely be adjusted to fit available funding. Staff intend to pursue alternative funding sources for this project if the 73rd St Pedestrian Bridge Replacement project receives funding.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	X
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – North Lyndale Avenue Reconstruction</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	North Lyndale Ave Reconstruction
2. Total project cost:	\$3,000,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	Beyond 2027	\$3,000,000
2025CIP		
2026 CIP		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$3,000,000	% of total	100%
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$3,000,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: This project includes reconstruction of Lyndale Ave between 65th St and Hwy 62. The project would "right-size" the roadway and modernize the corridor to match the Lyndale Ave corridor south of 66th St. The project would include a full public input process to determine the final design. Lyndale Ave between Hwy 62 and 65th St will be restriped and partially repaved as part of the 65th Street Reconstruction project in 2022/2023; the Lyndale Ave Reconstruction project is not planned to occur until the existing pavement and surface infrastructure reach end-of-life.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Penn Avenue Reconstruction</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Penn Avenue Reconstruction
2. Total project cost:	\$5,750,000
3. Years to complete:	2
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	Beyond 2027	\$5,750,000
2025 CIP		
2026 CIP		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$2,500,000	% of total	43.48%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds	\$3,250,000	% of total	56.52%
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$5,750,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: This project consists of the reconstruction of Penn Avenue from 62nd Street south to 75th Street. The new roadway cross-section would be consistent with the recommended alternative identified in the 2009 Arterial Roads Study (3-lane section) and includes the replacement of City utilities, ADA upgrades, and pedestrian and bicyclist upgrades. The City would seek to lead a robust public engagement process to guide the roadway design. Hennepin County is seeking Metropolitan Council regional solicitation funding to construct the project in 2027. If awarded federal funding, the project will be moved forward in the City CIP and staff will pursue additional funding sources to offset the remaining costs identified for bonding.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х

Community support:	X
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Right of Way Improvements</u> <u>Project Summary Form – TH62 Noise Barrier East</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	TH62 Noise Barrier East
2a. Total project cost:	\$1,860,000
2b. CIB/CIP cost:	\$85,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	Beyond 2027	\$85,000
2025 CIP		
2026 CIP		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$85,000	% of total	4.57%
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State	\$1,690,000	% of total	90.86%
County		% of total	
Other -	\$85,000	% of total	4.57%
Minneapolis			
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$1,860,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Richfield is interested in installing a noise wall on the south side of TH 62 from 11th Ave to Bloomington Ave. This installation requires collaboration with MnDOT and City of Minneapolis. Installation will only be pursued if the city is successful in obtaining funding from MnDOT's Standalone Noise Barrier Program. Currently, this project does not have identified funding from City of Minneapolis, but does have Minneapolis' continued interest.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

- **10.** Priority ranking system
- A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	Х
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	Х

PUBLIC FACILITIES

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – City-wide Water meter Upgrade</u> 2023Capital Budget (CIB)

2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	City-wide Water meter Upgrade
2a. Total project cost:	\$4,100,000
2b. CIB/CIP cost:	\$525,000
3. Years to complete:	5
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	Yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2019
5. Responsible department:	Utilities

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$525,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$4,100,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
Tax Increment Fin		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$4,100,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The current city-wide system of 11,000 water meters was installed in 2007 and requires employees to drive by each property to obtain meter readings. The system is failing and it is becoming time consuming to maintain. The installation of a new system will have a signal read radio device which will eliminate the need to drive by each property. With the pandemic and supply shortages the project has been delay by a year or more.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	X
Health/safety:	
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Lift Station #7 Ice Arena Cement wall extension and cover</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2023-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Lift Station #7 Cement cover/slab
2. Total project cost:	\$45,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works/Wastewater

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$45,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$45,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue	\$	% of total	
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$45,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The current wall extension and cover was installed in the 1970's.

The cover and the wall extension are deteriorating and crumbing causing a safety issue. Replace the cover/slab and reinforcing the wall extension will keep it safe for people to work and walk on the cover/slab. We will also upgrade the hatch to meet all safety requirements.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve	Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
----------	--------	--------------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Lime Filter Press Rehabilitation</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Lime Filter Press Rehabilitation
2a. Total project cost:	\$180,000
2b. CIB/CIP cost:	\$90,000
3. Years to complete:	2
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	Yes
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	2022
5. Responsible department:	Public Works/Utilities

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIP	\$90,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$180,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
Tax Increment Fin		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$180,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The south lime filter press was installed in 2008. The two filter press units include numerous components that wear over time and require replacement at regular intervals. Replacement of these components will result in more efficient and reliable filter press operation and is part of the life cycle asset management of the units. The north press was completed in 2020.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Water Treatment Plant - Education Center</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Water Treatment Plant – Education Center
2. Total project cost:	\$650,000
3. Years to complete:	3
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works/Utilities

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$50,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	\$100,000	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP	\$500,000		
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$650,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue	\$	% of total	
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$650,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The building partition that serves as the main entrance into the water treatment plant is an under-utilized space. Public Works would like to re-purpose this space to have it serve as an education/learning center. The theme of the education center would focus on "One Water" messaging as well as the duties, responsibilities and importance of public works along with water treatment and distribution. The space will also be utilized to put on display the new rebranding of the City's overall water resources program referred to as Richwater.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	X
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	Х
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – WTP Effluent Meter Upgrade</u>

2023Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Water Treatment Plant Effluent Meter Upgrade
2. Total project cost:	\$100,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Utilities

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$100,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$100,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
Tax Increment Fin		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$100,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The meter reading technology for the effluent side of the water treatment plant requires an upgrade. The reporting metrics for well-field influent (raw water) and plant effluent (treated water) are not reconciling properly. The supply and installation of proper metering devices will greatly reduce the deviation being observed and better position the City with year-end reporting.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Water Treatment Plant Network</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Water Treatment Plant Network
2. Total project cost:	\$250,000
3. Years to complete:	5
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works/Utilities

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	\$50,000	2027 CIP	\$50,000
2024 CIP	\$50,000	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP	\$50,000		
2026 CIP	\$50,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$250,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue	\$	% of total	
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$250,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The communications network for the water treatment plant and well houses, along with the security systems at each location, are served by a public/shared network. Although Public Works has instituted supplemental measures to protect our infrastructure, the growing threat of cyber-attacks is much more prevalent. The need for a dedicated communications network for the City's water supply system has become imperative. Shared networks are less efficient and are too vulnerable to cyber attacks. This project is for the design, installation, and commissioning of a network dedicated only to water plant operations.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	X
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Water Treatment Plant - Roof Replacement</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Water Treatment Plant - Roof Replacement
2. Total project cost:	\$1,000,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works/Utilities

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIP	\$1,000,000	2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$1,000,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
Tax Increment Fin		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$1,000,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The Water Treatment Plant roof was last replaced in 2002 and is in need of a full replacement. Annual inspections are scheduled so that repairs and maintenance can occur as needed until the roof is replaced. Cost of this maintenance has become increasingly more expensive and more frequent.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	X
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Taft Storage Site Improvements</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Taft Storage Site Improvements
2. Total project cost:	\$65,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		20277 CIP	
2024 CIP	\$65,000	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$65,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
Tax Increment Fin		% of total	
Other (HC Env.		% of total	
Response Fund)			
TOTAL	\$65,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Public Works utilizes the Taft Storage Site for temporary storage of

construction/maintenance materials throughout the year. The site is unusable at times due to rain and snow melt. The intention of this project is to grade, pave, and install permanent erosion control measures for the entire site. This project will also improve water quality of Taft Lake.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Water Treatment Plant – Generator Fuel Tank</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Water Treatment Plant – Generator Fuel Tank
2. Total project cost:	\$135,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works/Utilities

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	\$135,000	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$135,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue	\$	% of total	
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$135,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The base diesel fuel tank shows signs of corrosion and is in need of replacement.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Water Treatment Plant - Panel Board and VFD Replacement</u>

2023 Capital Budget (CIB) 2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Water Treatment Plant- Panel Board and VFD Replacement
2. Total project cost:	\$90,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works/Utilities

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP	\$90,000	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP			

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$90,00	% of total	100%
Special Revenue	\$	% of total	
Bonds	\$	% of total	
Mun. State Aid	\$	% of total	
Grants			
Federal	\$	% of total	
State	\$	% of total	
County	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
Federal Demo.	\$	% of total	
Tax Increment Fin	\$	% of total	
Other	\$	% of total	
TOTAL	\$90,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The Process Piping Area and Filtration Area 480V panel boards show signs of wear and corrosion and require removal and replacement. The VFDs located in the Process Area panel board for the high-service pumps also require removal and replacement.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Preserve Manage	Replace/Improve X	Expand
-----------------	-------------------	--------

Protect existing resources:	X
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Fuel/Salt Storage Facility</u> 2023 Capital Budget (CIB)

2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Fuel/Salt Storage Facility
2. Total project cost:	\$1,300,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB		2027 CIP	
2024 CIP		Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP			
2026 CIP	\$1,300,000		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees		% of total	
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds	\$1,300,000	% of total	100%
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
PIR		% of total	
Special Assessment		% of total	
Franchise Fees		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$1,300,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: The City built its current maintenance facility with an understanding and agreement that salt and fuel needs could be accommodated off-site. The City has mainly relied on the MnDOT Cedar Ave Station for both of these needs. This agreement has been modified where the City no longer has an agreement for salt storage. Another factor requiring a new facility is that MnDOT does not own the land on which their facility is located. The Metropolitan Airport Council (MAC) is the owner and has interest in redeveloping the area.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	Х
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	Х

<u>Public Facilities</u> <u>Project Summary Form – Roof Replacement of Well Houses</u> 2023Capital Budget (CIB)

2024-2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

1. Project:	Roof Replacement of Well Houses
2. Total project cost:	\$85,000
3. Years to complete:	1
4. Is this a continuation of a current project?	No
If "Yes", what is first year project appears in CIP?	
5. Responsible department:	Public Works/Utilities

6. Please list below the annual cost for each of the following years for this project:

2023 CIB	2027 CIP	\$85,000
2024 CIP	Beyond 2027	
2025 CIP		
2026 CIP		

7. Please indicate the sources of funding:

User Fees	\$85,000	% of total	100%
Special Revenue		% of total	
Bonds		% of total	
Mun. State Aid		% of total	
Grants			
Federal		% of total	
State		% of total	
County		% of total	
Other		% of total	
Federal Demo.		% of total	
Tax Increment Fin		% of total	
Other		% of total	
TOTAL	\$85,000		100%

8. Brief summary of project: Wells 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 were originally built in 1963, and the roofs are in need of routine replacement. Annual inspections are scheduled so that repairs and maintenance can occur as needed until the roof is replaced.

9. Does the project <u>conflict</u> with the City's Comprehensive Plan? Yes No X

10. Priority ranking system

A. Check one of the following:

Protect existing resources:	Х
Health/safety:	Х
Meets objectives in Comprehensive Plan:	
Land use compatibility:	
Community support:	
Cost effectiveness:	

THIS PAGE WAS LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY